Hidden structures of holographic correlators

Connor Behan

Oxford Mathematical Institute

2021-08-12

[2101.04114] with P. Ferrero, X. Zhou [2103.15830] with L. F. Alday, P. Ferrero, X. Zhou

	Chiral	Hidden conformal	Parisi-Sourlas
$3d \mathcal{N} = 8 \text{ ABJM}$			
4d $\mathcal{N}=$ 4 SYM			
6d $\mathcal{N}=(2,0)$			
$3d \ \mathcal{N} = 3$ flavoured ABJM			
4d $\mathcal{N}=2$ Argyres-Douglas			
5d $\mathcal{N}=1$ Seiberg			
$\begin{array}{l} 6d\ \mathcal{N} = (1,0) \\ E\text{-string} \end{array}$			

	Chiral	Hidden conformal	Parisi-Sourlas
$3d \mathcal{N} = 8 \text{ ABJM}$			
4d $\mathcal{N}=$ 4 SYM			
6d $\mathcal{N}=(2,0)$			
$3d \mathcal{N} = 3$ flavoured ABJM			
4d $\mathcal{N}=2$ Argyres-Douglas			
5d $\mathcal{N}=1$ Seiberg			
$\begin{array}{c} 6d \ \mathcal{N} = (1,0) \\ E\text{-string} \end{array}$			

	Chiral	Hidden conformal	Parisi-Sourlas
$3d \mathcal{N} = 8 \text{ ABJM}$			
4d $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM			
6d $\mathcal{N}=(2,0)$			
$3d \mathcal{N} = 3$ flavoured ABJM			
4d $\mathcal{N}=2$ Argyres-Douglas			
5d $\mathcal{N}=1$ Seiberg			
$\begin{array}{c} 6d\ \mathcal{N} = (1,0) \\ E\text{-string} \end{array}$			

	Chiral	Hidden conformal	Parisi-Sourlas
$3d \mathcal{N} = 8 \text{ ABJM}$			
4d $\mathcal{N}=$ 4 SYM			
6d $\mathcal{N}=(2,0)$			
$3d \mathcal{N} = 3$ flavoured ABJM			
4d $\mathcal{N}=2$ Argyres-Douglas			
5d $\mathcal{N}=1$ Seiberg			
$\begin{array}{c} 6d\ \mathcal{N} = (1,0) \\ E\text{-string} \end{array}$			

	Chiral	Hidden conformal	Parisi-Sourlas
$3d \mathcal{N} = 8 \text{ ABJM}$			
4d $\mathcal{N}=$ 4 SYM			
6d $\mathcal{N}=(2,0)$			
$3d \mathcal{N} = 3$ flavoured ABJM			
4d $\mathcal{N}=2$ Argyres-Douglas			
5d $\mathcal{N}=1$ Seiberg			
$\begin{array}{c} 6d\ \mathcal{N} = (1,0) \\ E\text{-string} \end{array}$			

	Chiral	Hidden conformal	Parisi-Sourlas
$3d \mathcal{N} = 8 \text{ ABJM}$			
4d $\mathcal{N}=$ 4 SYM			
6d $\mathcal{N}=(2,0)$			
$3d \mathcal{N} = 3$ flavoured ABJM			
4d $\mathcal{N}=2$ Argyres-Douglas			
5d $\mathcal{N}=1$ Seiberg			
$\begin{array}{c} 6d\ \mathcal{N} = (1,0) \\ E\text{-string} \end{array}$			

Consider a theory with SL(2) conformal, SU(2) global symmetry:

$$\mathcal{O}(z, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{O}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2j}}(z) \mathbf{v}_{\alpha_1} \dots \mathbf{v}_{\alpha_{2j}}$$

4pt functions depend on cross ratios:

$$\chi = \frac{z_{12}z_{34}}{z_{13}z_{24}}, \quad \alpha = \frac{v_{13}v_{24}}{v_{12}v_{34}}.$$

Consider a theory with SL(2) conformal, SU(2) global symmetry:

$$\mathcal{O}(z, v) = \mathcal{O}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2j}}(z) v_{\alpha_1} \dots v_{\alpha_{2j}}$$

4pt functions depend on cross ratios:

$$\chi = \frac{z_{12}z_{34}}{z_{13}z_{24}}, \quad \alpha = \frac{v_{13}v_{24}}{v_{12}v_{34}}.$$

One common convention is:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_4 \rangle = \left(rac{z_{24}}{z_{14}}
ight)^{h_{12}} \left(rac{z_{14}}{z_{13}}
ight)^{h_{34}} rac{1}{z_{12}^{h_1+h_2} z_{34}^{h_3+h_4}} \mathcal{G}(\chi).$$

Consider a theory with SL(2) conformal, SU(2) global symmetry:

$$\mathcal{O}(z, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{O}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2j}}(z) \mathbf{v}_{\alpha_1} \dots \mathbf{v}_{\alpha_{2j}}$$

4pt functions depend on cross ratios:

$$\chi = \frac{z_{12}z_{34}}{z_{13}z_{24}}, \quad \alpha = \frac{v_{13}v_{24}}{v_{12}v_{34}}.$$

One common convention is:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_4 \rangle = \left(\frac{z_{24}}{z_{14}}\right)^{h_{12}} \left(\frac{z_{14}}{z_{13}}\right)^{h_{34}} \left(\frac{v_{14}}{v_{24}}\right)^{j_{12}} \left(\frac{v_{13}}{v_{14}}\right)^{j_{34}} \frac{v_{12}^{j_1+j_2} v_{34}^{j_3+j_4}}{z_{12}^{h_1+h_2} z_{34}^{h_3+h_4}} \mathcal{G}(\chi;\alpha).$$

Consider a theory with SL(2) conformal, SU(2) global symmetry:

$$\mathcal{O}(z, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{O}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2j}}(z) \mathbf{v}_{\alpha_1} \dots \mathbf{v}_{\alpha_{2j}}.$$

4pt functions depend on cross ratios:

$$\chi = \frac{z_{12}z_{34}}{z_{13}z_{24}}, \quad \alpha = \frac{v_{13}v_{24}}{v_{12}v_{34}}.$$

One common convention is:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_4 \rangle = \left(\frac{z_{24}}{z_{14}}\right)^{h_{12}} \left(\frac{z_{14}}{z_{13}}\right)^{h_{34}} \left(\frac{v_{14}}{v_{24}}\right)^{j_{12}} \left(\frac{v_{13}}{v_{14}}\right)^{j_{34}} \frac{v_{12}^{j_1+j_2} v_{33}^{j_3+j_4}}{z_{12}^{h_1+h_2} z_{34}^{h_3+h_4}} \mathcal{G}(\chi;\alpha).$$

Another uses extremality $\mathcal{E} = 2\min(j_i)$ or $\sum_i j_i - 2\max(j_i)$.

$$v_{\overline{34}}^{j_{\overline{3}}+j_{\overline{4}}-j_{\overline{1}}-j_{\overline{2}}}v_{\overline{24}}^{j_{\overline{2}}+j_{\overline{4}}-j_{\overline{1}}-j_{\overline{3}}}v_{\overline{23}}^{j_{\overline{1}}+j_{\overline{2}}+j_{\overline{3}}-j_{\overline{4}}-\mathcal{E}}v_{\overline{14}}^{2j_{\overline{1}}-\mathcal{E}}(v_{\overline{12}}v_{\overline{34}})^{\mathcal{E}}.$$

Consider a theory with SL(2) conformal, SU(2) global symmetry:

$$\mathcal{O}(z, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{O}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2j}}(z) \mathbf{v}_{\alpha_1} \dots \mathbf{v}_{\alpha_{2j}}.$$

4pt functions depend on cross ratios:

$$\chi = \frac{z_{12}z_{34}}{z_{13}z_{24}}, \quad \alpha = \frac{v_{13}v_{24}}{v_{12}v_{34}}.$$

One common convention is:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_4 \rangle = \left(\frac{z_{24}}{z_{14}}\right)^{h_{12}} \left(\frac{z_{14}}{z_{13}}\right)^{h_{34}} \left(\frac{v_{14}}{v_{24}}\right)^{j_{12}} \left(\frac{v_{13}}{v_{14}}\right)^{j_{34}} \frac{v_{12}^{j_1+j_2} v_{33}^{j_3+j_4}}{z_{12}^{h_1+h_2} z_{34}^{h_3+h_4}} \mathcal{G}(\chi;\alpha).$$

Another uses extremality $\mathcal{E} = 2\min(j_i)$ or $\sum_i j_i - 2\max(j_i)$.

$$\left[\frac{v_{34}}{x_{34}^{2\epsilon}}\right]^{j_3+j_4-j_1-j_2} \left[\frac{v_{24}}{x_{24}^{2\epsilon}}\right]^{j_2+j_4-j_1-j_3} \left[\frac{v_{23}}{x_{23}^{2\epsilon}}\right]^{j_1+j_2+j_3-j_4-\mathcal{E}} \left[\frac{v_{14}}{x_{14}^{2\epsilon}}\right]^{2j_1-\mathcal{E}} \left[\frac{v_{12}v_{34}}{x_{12}^{2\epsilon}x_{34}^{2\epsilon}}\right]^{\mathcal{E}}$$

Consider a theory with SL(2) conformal, SU(2) global symmetry:

$$\mathcal{O}(z, \mathbf{v}) = \mathcal{O}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2j}}(z) \mathbf{v}_{\alpha_1} \dots \mathbf{v}_{\alpha_{2j}}.$$

4pt functions depend on cross ratios:

$$\chi = \frac{z_{12}z_{34}}{z_{13}z_{24}}, \quad \alpha = \frac{v_{13}v_{24}}{v_{12}v_{34}}.$$

One common convention is:

$$\langle \mathcal{O}_1 \mathcal{O}_2 \mathcal{O}_3 \mathcal{O}_4 \rangle = \left(\frac{z_{24}}{z_{14}}\right)^{h_{12}} \left(\frac{z_{14}}{z_{13}}\right)^{h_{34}} \left(\frac{v_{14}}{v_{24}}\right)^{j_{12}} \left(\frac{v_{13}}{v_{14}}\right)^{j_{34}} \frac{v_{12}^{j_1+j_2} v_{33}^{j_3+j_4}}{z_{12}^{h_1+h_2} z_{34}^{h_3+h_4}} \mathcal{G}(\chi;\alpha).$$

Another uses extremality $\mathcal{E} = 2\min(j_i)$ or $\sum_i j_i - 2\max(j_i)$.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{v_{34}}{x_{34}^{2\epsilon}} \end{bmatrix}^{j_3+j_4-j_1-j_2} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{v_{24}}{x_{24}^{2\epsilon}} \end{bmatrix}^{j_2+j_4-j_1-j_3} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{v_{23}}{x_{23}^{2\epsilon}} \end{bmatrix}^{j_1+j_2+j_3-j_4-\mathcal{E}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{v_{14}}{x_{14}^{2\epsilon}} \end{bmatrix}^{2j_1-\mathcal{E}} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{v_{12}v_{34}}{x_{12}^{2\epsilon}} x_{34}^{2\epsilon} \end{bmatrix}^{\mathcal{E}}$$

For $d > 2$, use $U = \chi \chi'$ and $V = (1-\chi)(1-\chi')$.
Connor Behan Field, string, gravity seminar

Maximal and half-maximal SUSY

With 16 Qs, R symmetry is SO(5), SO(6) or SO(8).

$$\mathcal{O}(x,t) = \mathcal{O}^{l_1...l_k}(x)t_{l_1}...t_{l_k}, \quad \Delta = \epsilon k, \quad \epsilon = \frac{d-2}{2}$$
$$\sigma = \frac{t_{13}t_{24}}{t_{12}t_{34}} = \alpha \alpha', \quad \tau = \frac{t_{14}t_{23}}{t_{12}t_{34}} = (1-\alpha)(1-\alpha')$$

Maximal and half-maximal SUSY

With 16 Qs, R symmetry is SO(5), SO(6) or SO(8).

$$\mathcal{O}(x,t) = \mathcal{O}^{I_1...I_k}(x)t_{I_1}...t_{I_k}, \quad \Delta = \epsilon k, \quad \epsilon = \frac{d-2}{2}$$
$$\sigma = \frac{t_{13}t_{24}}{t_{12}t_{34}} = \alpha \alpha', \quad \tau = \frac{t_{14}t_{23}}{t_{12}t_{34}} = (1-\alpha)(1-\alpha')$$

One can use a brane to break this to 8 Qs and $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$.

$$\mathcal{O}(x, v, \bar{v}) = \mathcal{O}_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k}(x) v_{\alpha_1} \dots v_{\alpha_k} \bar{v}^{\beta_1} \dots \bar{v}^{\beta_{k-2}}, \quad \Delta = \epsilon k$$
$$\alpha = \frac{v_{12} v_{34}}{v_{13} v_{34}}, \quad \beta = \frac{\bar{v}_{12} \bar{v}_{34}}{\bar{v}_{13} \bar{v}_{34}}, \quad \sigma = \alpha \beta, \quad \tau = (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)$$

Maximal and half-maximal SUSY

With 16 Qs, R symmetry is SO(5), SO(6) or SO(8).

$$\mathcal{O}(x,t) = \mathcal{O}^{I_1...I_k}(x)t_{I_1}...t_{I_k}, \quad \Delta = \epsilon k, \quad \epsilon = \frac{d-2}{2}$$
$$\sigma = \frac{t_{13}t_{24}}{t_{12}t_{34}} = \alpha \alpha', \quad \tau = \frac{t_{14}t_{23}}{t_{12}t_{34}} = (1-\alpha)(1-\alpha')$$

One can use a brane to break this to 8 Qs and $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$.

$$\mathcal{O}(x, v, \bar{v}) = \mathcal{O}_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_{k-2}}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k}(x) v_{\alpha_1} \dots v_{\alpha_k} \bar{v}^{\beta_1} \dots \bar{v}^{\beta_{k-2}}, \quad \Delta = \epsilon k$$
$$\alpha = \frac{v_{12} v_{34}}{v_{13} v_{34}}, \quad \beta = \frac{\bar{v}_{12} \bar{v}_{34}}{\bar{v}_{13} \bar{v}_{34}}, \quad \sigma = \alpha \beta, \quad \tau = (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)$$

Four (two) identities for 16 Qs (8 Qs) $_{\rm [Dolan,\ Gallot,\ Sokatchev;\ hep-th/0405180]}$.

$$(\chi \partial_{\chi} - \epsilon \alpha \partial_{\alpha}) \mathcal{G} \Big|_{\alpha = \chi^{-1}} = 0$$

Holography in Mellin space

Use Mandelstam variables instead of cross ratios [Mack; 0907.2407] .

$$\mathcal{G}(U,V) = \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{dsdt}{(4\pi i)^2} \frac{V^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}(k_1-k_4-\mathcal{E})+\frac{t}{2}}}{U^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}(k_1+k_2-\mathcal{E})-\frac{s}{2}}} \mathcal{M}(s,t) \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - s}{2}\right] \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_3 + \Delta_4 - s}{2}\right] \\ \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_4 - t}{2}\right] \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - t}{2}\right] \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_3 - u}{2}\right] \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_2 + \Delta_4 - u}{2}\right]$$

Holography in Mellin space

Use Mandelstam variables instead of cross ratios [Mack; 0907.2407] .

$$\mathcal{G}(U,V) = \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{dsdt}{(4\pi i)^2} \frac{V^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}(k_1-k_4-\mathcal{E})+\frac{t}{2}}}{U^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}(k_1+k_2-\mathcal{E})-\frac{s}{2}}} \mathcal{M}(s,t) \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - s}{2}\right] \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_3 + \Delta_4 - s}{2}\right] \\ \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_4 - t}{2}\right] \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - t}{2}\right] \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_3 - u}{2}\right] \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_2 + \Delta_4 - u}{2}\right]$$

Conformal blocks and Witten diagrams (for $au=\Delta-\ell$) both become

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tau,\ell}(s,t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{Q_{\ell,m}^{\tau}(t)}{m! \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \tau}{2} - m\right] \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_3 + \Delta_4 - \tau}{2} - m\right] (s - \tau - 2m)}$$

Holography in Mellin space

Use Mandelstam variables instead of cross ratios [Mack; 0907.2407] .

$$\mathcal{G}(U,V) = \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{dsdt}{(4\pi i)^2} \frac{V^{\frac{e}{2}(k_1-k_4-\mathcal{E})+\frac{t}{2}}}{U^{\frac{e}{2}(k_1+k_2-\mathcal{E})-\frac{s}{2}}} \mathcal{M}(s,t) \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - s}{2}\right] \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_3 + \Delta_4 - s}{2}\right] \\ \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_4 - t}{2}\right] \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - t}{2}\right] \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_3 - u}{2}\right] \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_2 + \Delta_4 - u}{2}\right]$$

Conformal blocks and Witten diagrams (for $au=\Delta-\ell$) both become

$$\mathcal{M}_{\tau,\ell}(s,t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{Q_{\ell,m}^{\tau}(t)}{m! \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - \tau}{2} - m\right] \Gamma\left[\frac{\Delta_3 + \Delta_4 - \tau}{2} - m\right] (s - \tau - 2m)}$$

Add contact terms to single trace blocks in all channels.

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}(s,t) &= \sum_{\mathcal{O}} C_{12\mathcal{O}} C_{34\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}}(s,t) + C_{14\mathcal{O}} C_{23\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}}(t,s) + C_{13\mathcal{O}} C_{24\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}}(u,t) \\ &+ P_{\ell_{max}-1}(s,t) \end{split}$$

Instead of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}}(s, t)$, use $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}}(s, t; \alpha)$ which is a linear combination of $\mathcal{Y}_{j}(\alpha)\mathcal{M}_{\tau,\ell}(s, t)$ for all components of the superconformal block.

Instead of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}}(s, t)$, use $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}}(s, t; \alpha)$ which is a linear combination of $\mathcal{Y}_{j}(\alpha)\mathcal{M}_{\tau,\ell}(s, t)$ for all components of the superconformal block.

	<i>OSp</i> (8 4)	<i>PSU</i> (2,2 2)	$OSp(8^* 4)$
$\ell = 0$	$B[0]^{[0,0,p,0]}_{p/2}$	$Bar{B}[0,0]_{ ho}^{[0, ho,0]}$	$D[0,0,0]_{2p}^{[p,0]}$
$\ell=2$	$Q^4B[0]^{[0,0,p,0]}_{p/2}$	$Q^2 \bar{Q}^2 B \bar{B}[0,0]_p^{[0,p,0]}$	$Q^4D[0,0,0]^{[p,0]}_{2p}$
$\ell = 0$	$Q^8B[0]^{[0,0,p,0]}_{p/2}$	$Q^4 ar{Q}^4 B ar{B}[0,0]_p^{[0,p,0]}$	$Q^8D[0,0,0]_{2p}^{[p,0]}$

Instead of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}}(s, t)$, use $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}}(s, t; \alpha)$ which is a linear combination of $\mathcal{Y}_{j}(\alpha)\mathcal{M}_{\tau,\ell}(s, t)$ for all components of the superconformal block.

	<i>OSp</i> (8 4)	<i>PSU</i> (2,2 2)	$OSp(8^* 4)$
$\ell = 0$	$B[0]^{[0,0,p,0]}_{p/2}$	$Bar{B}[0,0]_{p}^{[0,p,0]}$	$D[0,0,0]_{2p}^{[p,0]}$
$\ell=2$	$Q^4B[0]^{[0,0,p,0]}_{p/2}$	$Q^2 \bar{Q}^2 B \bar{B}[0,0]_p^{[0,p,0]}$	$Q^4D[0,0,0]_{2p}^{[p,0]}$
$\ell = 0$	$Q^8B[0]^{[0,0,p,0]}_{p/2}$	$Q^4 \bar{Q}^4 B \bar{B}[0,0]_p^{[0,p,0]}$	$Q^8D[0,0,0]^{[p,0]}_{2p}$

With 16 Qs, selection rule is

 $\mathcal{O}_{k_1} \times \mathcal{O}_{k_2} \supset \mathcal{O}_p, \quad p \in \{|k_{12}|+2, |k_{12}|+4, \dots, k_1+k_2-2\}.$

Instead of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}}(s, t)$, use $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}}(s, t; \alpha)$ which is a linear combination of $\mathcal{Y}_{j}(\alpha)\mathcal{M}_{\tau,\ell}(s, t)$ for all components of the superconformal block.

	<i>OSp</i> (8 4)	<i>PSU</i> (2,2 2)	$OSp(8^* 4)$
$\ell = 0$	$B[0]^{[0,0,p,0]}_{p/2}$	$Bar{B}[0,0]_{p}^{[0,p,0]}$	$D[0,0,0]_{2p}^{[p,0]}$
$\ell=2$	$Q^4B[0]^{[0,0,p,0]}_{p/2}$	$Q^2 \bar{Q}^2 B \bar{B}[0,0]_p^{[0,p,0]}$	$Q^4D[0,0,0]_{2p}^{[p,0]}$
$\ell = 0$	$Q^8B[0]^{[0,0,p,0]}_{p/2}$	$Q^4 \bar{Q}^4 B \bar{B}[0,0]_p^{[0,p,0]}$	$Q^8D[0,0,0]^{[p,0]}_{2p}$

With 16 Qs, selection rule is

$$\mathcal{O}_{k_1} \times \mathcal{O}_{k_2} \supset \mathcal{O}_p, \quad p \in \{|k_{12}|+2, |k_{12}|+4, \dots, k_1+k_2-2\}.$$

Generic non-maximal theories can be messier [Dolan, Osborn; heo-th/0209056] .

$$B\bar{B}[0,0]_4^{[0,4,0]} = B\bar{B}[0,0]_4^{2,2} \oplus A_2\bar{A}_2[0,0]_4^{1,1} \oplus L\bar{L}[0,0]_4^{0,0} \oplus \dots$$

Instead of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}}(s, t)$, use $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}}(s, t; \alpha)$ which is a linear combination of $\mathcal{Y}_{j}(\alpha)\mathcal{M}_{\tau,\ell}(s, t)$ for all components of the superconformal block.

	<i>OSp</i> (8 4)	<i>PSU</i> (2,2 2)	$OSp(8^* 4)$
$\ell = 0$	$B[0]^{[0,0,p,0]}_{p/2}$	$Bar{B}[0,0]_{p}^{[0,p,0]}$	$D[0,0,0]_{2p}^{[p,0]}$
$\ell=2$	$Q^4B[0]^{[0,0,p,0]}_{p/2}$	$Q^2 \bar{Q}^2 B \bar{B}[0,0]_p^{[0,p,0]}$	$Q^4D[0,0,0]_{2p}^{[p,0]}$
$\ell = 0$	$Q^8B[0]^{[0,0,p,0]}_{p/2}$	$Q^4 \bar{Q}^4 B \bar{B}[0,0]_p^{[0,p,0]}$	$Q^8D[0,0,0]^{[p,0]}_{2p}$

With 16 Qs, selection rule is

$$\mathcal{O}_{k_1} \times \mathcal{O}_{k_2} \supset \mathcal{O}_p, \quad p \in \{ |k_{12}| + 2, |k_{12}| + 4, \dots, k_1 + k_2 - 2 \}.$$

Generic non-maximal theories can be messier $_{[Dolan,\ Osborn;\ heo-th/0209056]}$.

$$B\bar{B}[0,0]_4^{[0,4,0]} = B\bar{B}[0,0]_4^{2,2} \oplus A_2\bar{A}_2[0,0]_4^{1,1} \oplus L\bar{L}[0,0]_4^{0,0} \oplus \dots$$

If SUSY is broken with a brane, look for $\ell=1 \Rightarrow$ half-BPS again!

Instead of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{O}}(s, t)$, use $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{O}}(s, t; \alpha)$ which is a linear combination of $\mathcal{Y}_{j}(\alpha)\mathcal{M}_{\tau,\ell}(s, t)$ for all components of the superconformal block.

	<i>OSp</i> (8 4)	<i>PSU</i> (2,2 2)	$OSp(8^* 4)$
$\ell = 0$	$B[0]^{[0,0,p,0]}_{p/2}$	$Bar{B}[0,0]_{p}^{[0,p,0]}$	$D[0,0,0]_{2p}^{[p,0]}$
$\ell=2$	$Q^4B[0]^{[0,0,p,0]}_{p/2}$	$Q^2 \bar{Q}^2 B \bar{B}[0,0]_p^{[0,p,0]}$	$Q^4D[0,0,0]^{[p,0]}_{2p}$
$\ell = 0$	$Q^8B[0]^{[0,0,p,0]}_{p/2}$	$Q^4 \bar{Q}^4 B \bar{B}[0,0]_p^{[0,p,0]}$	$Q^8D[0,0,0]^{[p,0]}_{2p}$

With 16 Qs and 8 Qs, selection rule is

$$\mathcal{O}_{k_1} \times \mathcal{O}_{k_2} \supset \mathcal{O}_p, \quad p \in \{ |k_{12}| + 2, |k_{12}| + 4, \dots, k_1 + k_2 - 2 \}.$$

Generic non-maximal theories can be messier [Dolan, Osborn; heo-th/0209056] .

$$B\bar{B}[0,0]_4^{[0,4,0]} = B\bar{B}[0,0]_4^{2,2} \oplus A_2\bar{A}_2[0,0]_4^{1,1} \oplus L\bar{L}[0,0]_4^{0,0} \oplus \dots$$

If SUSY is broken with a brane, look for $\ell=1 \Rightarrow$ half-BPS again!

Probe branes wrap $AdS_{d+1} \times S^3$ to produce $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$.

Probe branes wrap $AdS_{d+1} \times S^3$ to produce $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$.





D3-D3 open strings

Closed strings

Probe branes wrap $AdS_{d+1} \times S^3$ to produce $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$.





D3-D3 open strings

Closed strings

D3-D7 / D7-D3 open strings

D7-D7 open strings

Probe branes wrap $AdS_{d+1} \times S^3$ to produce $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$.



D3-D3 open strings

Closed strings

D3-D7 / D7-D3 open strings

D7-D7 open strings

$$\mathcal{M}_{16Qs}(s,t;\alpha,\alpha') = \sum_{\rho=|k_{12}|+2}^{k_{1}+k_{2}-2} C_{12\rho}C_{34\rho}S_{\rho}(s,t;\alpha,\alpha') + crossed + contact$$
$$\mathcal{M}_{12}^{l_{1}l_{2}l_{3}l_{4}}(s,t;\alpha,\beta) = f^{l_{1}l_{2}J}f^{J_{3}l_{4}}\sum_{r=1}^{k_{1}+k_{2}-2} C_{12\rho}C_{34\rho}S_{\rho}(s,t;\alpha)\mathcal{V}_{\rho-2}(\beta) + same$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathsf{8Qs}}^{I_1I_2I_3I_4}(s,t;\alpha,\beta) = f^{I_1I_2J}f^{JI_3I_4} \sum_{p=|k_{12}|+2} C_{12p}C_{34p}S_p(s,t;\alpha)\mathcal{Y}_{p-2}(\beta) + \mathsf{same}(s,t;\alpha)\mathcal{Y}_{p-2}(\beta) + \mathsf{sa$$

Scatter $\mathcal{O}_k(x,t)$ at $O(1/c_T)$ and $\mathcal{O}'_k(x,v,\bar{v})$ at $O(1/c_J)$.



Determined in terms of $\Xi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} k_i$ and $\alpha_i = \Xi - k_i$.



Determined in terms of
$$\Xi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} k_i$$
 and $\alpha_i = \Xi - k_i$.

$$C_{k_{1},k_{2},k_{3}}^{16Qs} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{70}{\pi^{3}c_{T}}} 2^{2\Xi-1}\Gamma[\Xi] \prod_{i} \frac{\Gamma[\alpha_{i}+\frac{1}{2}]}{\sqrt{\Gamma[2k_{i}-1]}} & AdS_{7} \\ \sqrt{\frac{30k_{1}k_{2}k_{3}}{c_{T}}} & AdS_{5} \\ \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3c_{T}}} \frac{2^{3-\Xi}}{\Gamma[\frac{\Xi+2}{2}]} \prod_{i} \frac{\sqrt{\Gamma[k_{i}+2]}}{\Gamma[\frac{\alpha_{i}+1}{2}]} & AdS_{4} \end{cases}$$

from [Lee, Minwalla, Rangamani, Seiberg; hep-th/9806074] [Bastianelli, Zucchini; hep-th/9907047] .

$$C_{k_1,k_2,k_3} = ?$$

Determined in terms of
$$\Xi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} k_i$$
 and $\alpha_i = \Xi - k_i$.

$$C_{k_{1},k_{2},k_{3}}^{16Qs} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{70}{\pi^{3}c_{T}}} 2^{2\Xi-1}\Gamma[\Xi] \prod_{i} \frac{\Gamma[\alpha_{i}+\frac{1}{2}]}{\sqrt{\Gamma[2k_{i}-1]}} & AdS_{7} \\ \sqrt{\frac{30k_{1}k_{2}k_{3}}{c_{T}}} & AdS_{5} \\ \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{3c_{T}}} \frac{2^{3-\Xi}}{\Gamma[\frac{\Xi+2}{2}]} \prod_{i} \frac{\sqrt{\Gamma[k_{i}+2]}}{\Gamma[\frac{\alpha_{i}+1}{2}]} & AdS_{4} \end{cases}$$

from [Lee, Minwalla, Rangamani, Seiberg; hep-th/9806074] [Bastianelli, Zucchini; hep-th/9907047] .

$$C_{k_{1},k_{2},k_{3}}^{8Qs} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{30}{\pi c_{J}}} \Gamma\left[\Xi - \frac{1}{2}\right] \prod_{i} \frac{\Gamma\left[\alpha_{i} + \frac{1}{2}\right]}{\sqrt{\Gamma\left[k_{i} \pm \frac{1}{2}\right]}} & AdS_{7} \\ \frac{2^{2\Xi + 2}3^{\frac{7 - 6\Xi}{4}} \Gamma\left[\frac{\Xi}{2} \pm \frac{1}{6}\right]}{\sqrt{3\pi c_{J}} \Gamma\left[\frac{\Xi}{2}\right]} \prod_{i} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma\left[k_{i}\right]}{\Gamma\left[k_{i} \pm \frac{1}{3}\right]}} \frac{\Gamma\left[\frac{3}{2}\alpha_{i}\right]}{\Gamma\left[\alpha_{i}\right]}} & AdS_{6} \\ \sqrt{\frac{6}{c_{J}}} & AdS_{5} \\ \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{c_{J}}} \frac{2^{3 - \Xi}}{\Gamma\left[\frac{\Xi}{2}\right]} \prod_{i} \frac{\sqrt{\Gamma\left[k_{i}\right]}}{\Gamma\left[\frac{\alpha_{i} + 1}{2}\right]}} & AdS_{4} \text{ (really 6}Qs) \end{cases}$$

from [Alday, CB, Ferrero, Zhou; 2103.15830] .

1. Superconformal Ward identity

Apply
$$(\chi \partial_{\chi} - \epsilon \alpha \partial_{\alpha}) \mathcal{G}|_{\alpha = \chi^{-1}} = 0$$
 in Mellin space [Zhou; 1712.02800].

$$\begin{split} & U\partial_U \to \left[\frac{s}{2} - \epsilon \frac{k_1 + k_2 - 2\mathcal{E}}{2}\right] \times, \quad V\partial_V \to \left[\frac{t}{2} + \epsilon \frac{k_1 - k_4 - 2\mathcal{E}}{2}\right] \times \\ & U^m V^n \circ \mathcal{M}(s, t) = \left(\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - s}{2}\right)_m \left(\frac{\Delta_3 + \Delta_4 - s}{2}\right)_m \left(\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_4 - t}{2}\right)_n \\ & \left(\frac{\Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - t}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_3 - u}{2}\right)_{-m-n} \left(\frac{\Delta_2 + \Delta_4 - u}{2}\right)_{-m-n} \mathcal{M}(s - 2m, t - 2n) \end{split}$$

1. Superconformal Ward identity

Apply
$$(\chi \partial_{\chi} - \epsilon \alpha \partial_{\alpha}) \mathcal{G}|_{\alpha = \chi^{-1}} = 0$$
 in Mellin space [Zhou; 1712.02800].

$$\begin{split} & U\partial_U \to \left[\frac{s}{2} - \epsilon \frac{k_1 + k_2 - 2\mathcal{E}}{2}\right] \times, \quad V\partial_V \to \left[\frac{t}{2} + \epsilon \frac{k_1 - k_4 - 2\mathcal{E}}{2}\right] \times \\ & U^m V^n \circ \mathcal{M}(s, t) = \left(\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - s}{2}\right)_m \left(\frac{\Delta_3 + \Delta_4 - s}{2}\right)_m \left(\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_4 - t}{2}\right)_n \\ & \left(\frac{\Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - t}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_3 - u}{2}\right)_{-m-n} \left(\frac{\Delta_2 + \Delta_4 - u}{2}\right)_{-m-n} \mathcal{M}(s - 2m, t - 2n) \end{split}$$

Residues of S_p can have *m* dependence simplified.

$$\frac{\sigma^{i}\tau^{j}H_{p,m}^{i,j}}{s-\epsilon p-2m}\left[t^{2}+q_{1}^{p}(m)t+q_{2}^{p}(m)\right] \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{(1-\alpha)^{i}H_{p,m}^{i}}{s-\epsilon p-2m}\left[t+q_{1}^{p}(m)\right]$$
$$s+t+u=\epsilon\sum_{i}k_{i}, \quad s=\tau+2m$$

1. Superconformal Ward identity

Apply
$$(\chi \partial_{\chi} - \epsilon \alpha \partial_{\alpha}) \mathcal{G}|_{\alpha = \chi^{-1}} = 0$$
 in Mellin space [Zhou; 1712.02800].

$$\begin{split} & U\partial_U \to \left[\frac{s}{2} - \epsilon \frac{k_1 + k_2 - 2\mathcal{E}}{2}\right] \times, \quad V\partial_V \to \left[\frac{t}{2} + \epsilon \frac{k_1 - k_4 - 2\mathcal{E}}{2}\right] \times \\ & U^m V^n \circ \mathcal{M}(s, t) = \left(\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 - s}{2}\right)_m \left(\frac{\Delta_3 + \Delta_4 - s}{2}\right)_m \left(\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_4 - t}{2}\right)_n \\ & \left(\frac{\Delta_2 + \Delta_3 - t}{2}\right)_n \left(\frac{\Delta_1 + \Delta_3 - u}{2}\right)_{-m-n} \left(\frac{\Delta_2 + \Delta_4 - u}{2}\right)_{-m-n} \mathcal{M}(s - 2m, t - 2n) \end{split}$$

Residues of S_p can have *m* dependence simplified.

$$\frac{\sigma^{i}\tau^{j}H_{p,m}^{i,j}}{s-\epsilon p-2m}\left[t^{2}+q_{1}^{p}(\boldsymbol{m})t+q_{2}^{p}(\boldsymbol{m})\right] \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{(1-\alpha)^{i}H_{p,m}^{i}}{s-\epsilon p-2m}\left[t+q_{1}^{p}(\boldsymbol{m})\right]$$
$$s+t+u=\epsilon\sum_{i}k_{i}, \quad s=\tau+2m$$

Leads to amplitudes with no additional contact terms ${\scriptstyle [Alday, \ Zhou; \ 2006.06653]}$.

2. Flat space limit

Amplitudes take a universal form for $s, t \rightarrow \infty$ with s + t + u = 0.

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}_{16Qs}(s,t,\alpha,\alpha')}{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{E}-2}(\sigma,\tau)} = \frac{(s+t-\alpha s)^2(s+t-\alpha' s)^2}{stu}$$

2. Flat space limit

Amplitudes take a universal form for $s, t \rightarrow \infty$ with s + t + u = 0.

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}_{16Qs}(s,t,\alpha,\alpha')}{P_{\mathcal{E}-2}(\sigma,\tau)} = \frac{(s+t-\alpha s)^2(s+t-\alpha' s)^2}{stu}$$

With color and kinematic factors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}_{s} &= f^{I_{1}I_{2}J}f^{JI_{3}I_{4}}, \quad \mathbf{c}_{t} = f^{I_{1}I_{4}J}f^{JI_{2}I_{3}}, \quad \mathbf{c}_{u} = f^{I_{1}I_{3}J}f^{JI_{4}I_{2}}\\ N_{s} &= u(1-\alpha) - t\alpha, \quad N_{t} = (\alpha-1)(u+s\alpha), \quad N_{u} = \alpha(t+s(1-\alpha)) \end{aligned}$$

gluon analogue agrees with [Adamo, Casali, Mason, Nekovar; 1810.05115] :

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}_{8Qs}(s,t,\alpha,\beta)}{P_{\mathcal{E}-2}(\sigma,\tau)} = \frac{(tc_s - sc_t)(s+t-\alpha s)^2}{stu} = \left[\frac{c_s N_s}{s} + \frac{c_t N_t}{t} + \frac{c_u N_u}{u}\right].$$

2. Flat space limit

Amplitudes take a universal form for $s, t \rightarrow \infty$ with s + t + u = 0.

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}_{16Qs}(s,t,\alpha,\alpha')}{P_{\mathcal{E}-2}(\sigma,\tau)} = \frac{(s+t-\alpha s)^2(s+t-\alpha' s)^2}{stu}$$

With color and kinematic factors

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{c}_s &= f^{I_1 I_2 J} f^{J I_3 I_4}, \quad \mathsf{c}_t = f^{I_1 I_4 J} f^{J I_2 I_3}, \quad \mathsf{c}_u = f^{I_1 I_3 J} f^{J I_4 I_2} \\ N_s &= u(1-\alpha) - t\alpha, \quad N_t = (\alpha-1)(u+s\alpha), \quad N_u = \alpha(t+s(1-\alpha)) \end{aligned}$$

gluon analogue agrees with [Adamo, Casali, Mason, Nekovar; 1810.05115] :

$$\frac{\mathcal{M}_{8Q_{s}}(s,t,\alpha,\beta)}{P_{\mathcal{E}-2}(\sigma,\tau)} = \frac{(tc_{s}-sc_{t})(s+t-\alpha s)^{2}}{stu} = \left[\frac{c_{s}N_{s}}{s} + \frac{c_{t}N_{t}}{t} + \frac{c_{u}N_{u}}{u}\right].$$

Polynomial given by the overlap of wavefunctions on a transverse S^d .

$$P_{\mathcal{E}-2}(\sigma, \tau) \propto \int_{S^d} dT (t_1 \cdot T)^{k_1-2} (t_2 \cdot T)^{k_2-2} (t_3 \cdot T)^{k_3-2} (t_4 \cdot T)^{k_4-2}$$

Superconformal Ward identities in four dimensions are

$$\partial_{\chi'}\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{N}=2}(\chi,\chi';\chi'^{-1})=0,\quad \partial_{\chi'}\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{N}=4}(\chi,\chi';\alpha,\chi'^{-1})=0.$$

Solutions have the form $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{K}+\mathcal{RH}$ with

$$\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{N}=2}=(1-lpha\chi)(1-lpha\chi'), \quad \mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{N}=4}=(1-lpha\chi)(1-lpha\chi')(1-lpha'\chi)(1-lpha'\chi)(1-lpha'\chi').$$

Cohomological explanation: [Beem, Lemos, Liendo, Peelaers, Rastelli, van Rees; 1312.5344] .

Superconformal Ward identities in four dimensions are

$$\partial_{\chi'}\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{N}=2}(\chi,\chi';\chi'^{-1})=0,\quad \partial_{\chi'}\mathcal{G}^{\mathcal{N}=4}(\chi,\chi';\alpha,\chi'^{-1})=0.$$

Solutions have the form $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{K}+\mathcal{RH}$ with

$$\mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{N}=2} = (1-lpha\chi)(1-lpha\chi'), \quad \mathcal{R}^{\mathcal{N}=4} = (1-lpha\chi)(1-lpha\chi')(1-lpha'\chi)(1-lpha'\chi).$$

Cohomological explanation: [Beem, Lemos, Liendo, Peelaers, Rastelli, van Rees; 1312.5344] .



Plane preserved by $\mathfrak{sl}(2) \times \mathfrak{sl}(2|2)$ admits nilpotent \mathbb{Q} .

R symmetry gives $\overline{L}_{0,\pm 1} - R_{0,\pm 1}$ = {Q, ·} commuting with $L_{0,\pm 1}$.

2d and 4d central charges related by a negative factor.

Bootstrapping a W-algebra means solving for $\lambda_{12\mathcal{O}}$.

$$\mathcal{O}_1(z)\mathcal{O}_2(0) = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{12\mathcal{O}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(h+h_{12})_m}{m!(2h)_m} \frac{\partial^m \mathcal{O}(0)}{z^{h_1+h_2-h-m}}$$

Bootstrapping a W-algebra means solving for $\lambda_{12\mathcal{O}}$.

$$\mathcal{O}_1(z)\mathcal{O}_2(0) = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{12\mathcal{O}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(h+h_{12})_m}{m!(2h)_m} \frac{\partial^m \mathcal{O}(0)}{z^{h_1+h_2-h-m}}$$

Crossing under $1 \leftrightarrow 3$ manifest but $1 \leftrightarrow 4$ must still be imposed.

$$F_{1234}(\chi) + \frac{(-1)^{k_1+k_4}\chi^{k_1+k_2}}{(\chi-1)^{k_2+k_3}}F_{3214}(1-\chi)$$

$$F_{1234}(\chi) = \sum_{p} C_{12p}C_{34p}\sum_{m=0}^{k_1+k_2-p-1} \frac{(p-k_{12})_m(p+k_{34})_m}{m!(2p)_m\chi^{k_1+k_2-p-m}}$$

Bootstrapping a W-algebra means solving for $\lambda_{12\mathcal{O}}$.

$$\mathcal{O}_1(z)\mathcal{O}_2(0) = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{12\mathcal{O}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(h+h_{12})_m}{m!(2h)_m} \frac{\partial^m \mathcal{O}(0)}{z^{h_1+h_2-h-m}}$$

Crossing under $1 \leftrightarrow 3$ manifest but $1 \leftrightarrow 4$ must still be imposed.

$$F_{1234}(\chi) + \frac{(-1)^{k_1+k_4}\chi^{k_1+k_2}}{(\chi-1)^{k_2+k_3}}F_{3214}(1-\chi)$$

$$F_{1234}(\chi) = \sum_{p} C_{12p}C_{34p}\sum_{m=0}^{k_1+k_2-p-1} \frac{(p-k_{12})_m(p+k_{34})_m}{m!(2p)_m\chi^{k_1+k_2-p-m}}$$

All OPE coefficients fixed in Argyres-Douglas case.

$$F_{1234}^{l_1l_2l_3l_4}(\chi;\beta) = \sqrt{\frac{6}{c_J}} f^{l_1l_2J} f^{Jl_3l_4} \sum_p g_{1-\frac{p}{2}}^{\frac{k_{21}}{2},\frac{k_{43}}{2}}(\beta^{-1}) \sum_{m=0}^{\frac{k_{1}+k_2-p-2}{2}} \frac{\left(\frac{p-k_{12}}{2}\right)_m \left(\frac{p+k_{34}}{2}\right)_m}{m!(p)_m \chi^{\frac{k_1+k_2-p}{2}-m}}$$

3. Chiral algbra and loops

Simplest chiral algebra correlator in 4d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM.

$$F_{2222}(\chi;\alpha) = 1 + (\chi\alpha)^2 + \chi^2 \left(\frac{\alpha - 1}{1 - \chi}\right)^2 + \frac{12}{c} \left[\frac{\chi}{1 - \chi} - 2\chi\alpha + \frac{(\chi\alpha)^2}{1 - \chi}\right]$$

Why do we have $F_{2222}(\chi; \alpha)|_{1/c^2} = 0$ but $F_{3333}(\chi; \alpha)|_{1/c^2} \neq 0$?

3. Chiral algbra and loops

Simplest chiral algebra correlator in 4d $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM.

$$F_{2222}(\chi;\alpha) = 1 + (\chi\alpha)^2 + \chi^2 \left(\frac{\alpha - 1}{1 - \chi}\right)^2 + \frac{12}{c} \left[\frac{\chi}{1 - \chi} - 2\chi\alpha + \frac{(\chi\alpha)^2}{1 - \chi}\right]$$

Why do we have $F_{2222}(\chi; \alpha)|_{1/c^2} = 0$ but $F_{3333}(\chi; \alpha)|_{1/c^2} \neq 0$?

$$J^{(2)}(z)J^{(2)}(0) \sim \frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{J^{(2)}(0)}{\sqrt{cz}} + regular$$
$$J^{(3)}(z)J^{(3)}(0) \sim \frac{1}{z^3} + \frac{J^{(2)}(0)}{\sqrt{cz^2}} + \frac{J^{(4)}(0)}{\sqrt{cz}} + regular$$

First OPE cannot pick up any $\frac{1}{c}J^{(a)}J^{(b)}$ but second OPE can pick up $\frac{1}{c}J^{(2)}J^{(2)}$ at order $\frac{1}{z}$.

3. Chiral algbra and loops

Simplest chiral algebra correlator in 4d $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM.

$$F_{2222}(\chi;\alpha) = 1 + (\chi\alpha)^2 + \chi^2 \left(\frac{\alpha - 1}{1 - \chi}\right)^2 + \frac{12}{c} \left[\frac{\chi}{1 - \chi} - 2\chi\alpha + \frac{(\chi\alpha)^2}{1 - \chi}\right]$$

Why do we have $F_{2222}(\chi; \alpha)|_{1/c^2} = 0$ but $F_{3333}(\chi; \alpha)|_{1/c^2} \neq 0$?

$$J^{(2)}(z)J^{(2)}(0) \sim \frac{1}{z^2} + \frac{J^{(2)}(0)}{\sqrt{cz}} + regular$$
$$J^{(3)}(z)J^{(3)}(0) \sim \frac{1}{z^3} + \frac{J^{(2)}(0)}{\sqrt{cz^2}} + \frac{J^{(4)}(0)}{\sqrt{cz}} + regular$$

First OPE cannot pick up any $\frac{1}{c}J^{(a)}J^{(b)}$ but second OPE can pick up $\frac{1}{c}J^{(2)}J^{(2)}$ at order $\frac{1}{z}$.

$$F_{3333}(\chi;\alpha)\big|_{1/c^2} = \frac{2025\chi^2\alpha(1-\alpha)}{c^2(1-\chi)}$$

Crossing continues to fix loops [CB, Ferrero, Zhou; 2101.04114] .

For $[\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2]_{n,\ell} \subset \langle \mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2\rangle$, it is standard to use $\mathcal{H}(\chi,\chi')$.

$$\mathcal{H}(\chi,\chi') = \sum_{n,\ell} a^{(0)}_{n,\ell} \mathcal{G}_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(\chi,\chi')$$

For $[\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2]_{n,\ell} \subset \langle \mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2\rangle$, it is standard to use $\mathcal{H}(\chi,\chi')$.

$$\mathcal{H}(\chi,\chi') = \sum_{n,\ell} \left(\mathbf{a}_{n,\ell}^{(0)} + \mathbf{a}_{n,\ell}^{(1)} + \dots \right) \mathcal{G}_{\Delta_{n,\ell} + \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)} + \dots,\ell}(\chi,\chi')$$

For $[\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2]_{n,\ell} \subset \langle \mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2\rangle$, it is standard to use $\mathcal{H}(\chi,\chi')$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(\chi,\chi') &= \sum_{n,\ell} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} \mathcal{G}_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(\chi,\chi') + \sum_{n,\ell} \left[a_{n,\ell}^{(1)} \mathcal{G}_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(\chi,\chi') + \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} \mathcal{G}_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(\chi,\chi') \right] \\ &+ \sum_{n,\ell} \left[a_{n,\ell}^{(2)} \mathcal{G}_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(\chi,\chi') + 2\gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)} a_{n,\ell}^{(1)} \mathcal{G}_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(\chi,\chi') + \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(2)} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} \mathcal{G}_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(\chi,\chi') \right] \\ &+ \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)2} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} \mathcal{G}_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(\chi,\chi') + O(1/c_T^3) \end{aligned}$$

For
$$[\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2]_{n,\ell} \subset \langle \mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2\rangle$$
, it is standard to use $\mathcal{H}(\chi,\chi')$.
 $\mathcal{H}(\chi,\chi') = \sum_{n,\ell} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(\chi,\chi') + \sum_{n,\ell} \left[a_{n,\ell}^{(1)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(\chi,\chi') + \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G'_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(\chi,\chi') \right]$

$$+ \sum_{n,\ell} \left[a_{n,\ell}^{(2)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(\chi,\chi') + 2\gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)} a_{n,\ell}^{(1)} G'_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(\chi,\chi') + \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(2)} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G'_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(\chi,\chi') \right]$$

$$+ \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)2} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G''_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(\chi,\chi') \right] + O(1/c_T^3)$$

Double-log is also a double-discontinuity defined by

$$dDisc[f(\chi,\chi')] = f(\chi,\chi') - \frac{1}{2} \left[f(\chi,e^{2\pi i}\chi') + f(\chi,e^{-2\pi i}\chi') \right].$$

For
$$[\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2]_{n,\ell} \subset \langle \mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2\mathcal{O}_2 \rangle$$
, it is standard to use $\mathcal{H}(\chi, \chi')$.
 $\mathcal{H}(\chi, \chi') = \sum_{n,\ell} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} \mathcal{G}_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(\chi, \chi') + \sum_{n,\ell} \left[a_{n,\ell}^{(1)} \mathcal{G}_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(\chi, \chi') + \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} \mathcal{G}_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(\chi, \chi') \right]$

$$+ \sum_{n,\ell} \left[a_{n,\ell}^{(2)} \mathcal{G}_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(\chi, \chi') + 2\gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)} a_{n,\ell}^{(1)} \mathcal{G}_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(\chi, \chi') + \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(2)} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} \mathcal{G}_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(\chi, \chi') \right]$$

$$+ \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)2} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} \mathcal{G}_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(\chi, \chi') \right] + O(1/c_T^3)$$

Double-log is also a double-discontinuity defined by

$$dDisc[f(\chi, \chi')] = f(\chi, \chi') - \frac{1}{2} \left[f(\chi, e^{2\pi i} \chi') + f(\chi, e^{-2\pi i} \chi') \right].$$

Full spectral density encoded in this [Caron-Huot; 1703.00278] !

$$c(\Delta,\ell) = \kappa_{\Delta,\ell} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\chi - \chi'}{\chi \chi'} \right|^{d-2} \mathcal{G}_{\ell+d-1,\Delta+1-d}(\chi,\chi') d\text{Disc}[\mathcal{H}(\chi,\chi')] \frac{d\chi}{\chi^2} \frac{d\chi'}{\chi'^2}$$



Use
$$\langle \mathcal{O}_{2}\mathcal{O}_{2}\mathcal{O}_{p}\mathcal{O}_{p}\rangle$$
 to get around $\langle \gamma^{(1)2}a^{(0)}\rangle_{n,\ell} \neq \frac{\langle \gamma^{(1)}a^{(0)}\rangle_{n,\ell}^{2}}{\langle a^{(0)}\rangle_{n,\ell}}$.
2
p
 $\left(\begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{O}_{2}\mathcal{O}_{2}\end{bmatrix}_{1}\\ \begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{O}_{2}\mathcal{O}_{2}\end{bmatrix}_{1}\\ \begin{bmatrix}\mathcal{O}_{3}\mathcal{O}_{3}\end{bmatrix}_{0}\end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\frac{\lambda_{22A}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{22A}^{2}+\lambda_{22B}^{2}}} & \frac{\lambda_{22B}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{22A}^{2}+\lambda_{22B}^{2}}}\\ \frac{\lambda_{33A}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{33A}^{2}+\lambda_{33B}^{2}}} & \frac{\lambda_{33B}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{33A}^{2}+\lambda_{33B}^{2}}}\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}A\\B\end{pmatrix}$
2
2
2
 $M = Q\begin{pmatrix}\gamma^{(1)}_{A} & 0\\ 0 & \gamma^{(1)}_{B}\end{pmatrix}Q^{T}, \quad \langle \gamma^{(1)2}a^{(0)}\rangle_{1} \in M^{2}$



Eigenvalues never involve higher roots [Aprile, Drummond, Heslop, Paul; 1706.02822] !

$$\gamma_{n,\ell,i}^{(1)} = -\frac{2(n+1)_4(n+\ell+2)_4}{(\ell+2i+1)_6}, \quad i = 0, \dots, n$$

Higher dimensional blocks diagonalize this $_{\mbox{[Caron-Huot, Trinh; 1809.09173]}}$.

Higher dimensional blocks diagonalize this [Caron-Huot, Trinh; 1809.09173] .

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2222}(s,t) \to \mathcal{A}_{grav}(s,t) \propto \frac{1}{stu}$$
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2222}^{l_1 l_2 l_3 l_4}(s,t) \to \mathcal{A}_{glu}^{l_1 l_2 l_3 l_4}(s,t) \propto \frac{f^{l_1 l_2 J} f^{J l_3 l_4}}{su} - \frac{f^{l_1 l_4 J} f^{J l_2 l_3}}{tu}$$

Graviton (gluon) amplitude conformal for d = 10 (d = 8)!

$$K_{\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left[\frac{p_{i\mu}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}} - p_{i}^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}^{\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}^{\mu}} - \frac{d-2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}^{\mu}} \right]$$

Higher dimensional blocks diagonalize this [Caron-Huot, Trinh; 1809.09173] .

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2222}(s,t) \to \mathcal{A}_{grav}(s,t) \propto \frac{1}{stu}$$
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2222}^{l_1 l_2 l_3 l_4}(s,t) \to \mathcal{A}_{glu}^{l_1 l_2 l_3 l_4}(s,t) \propto \frac{f^{l_1 l_2 J} f^{J l_3 l_4}}{su} - \frac{f^{l_1 l_4 J} f^{J l_2 l_3}}{tu}$$

Graviton (gluon) amplitude conformal for d = 10 (d = 8)!

$$K_{\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left[\frac{p_{i\mu}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}} - p_{i}^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}^{\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}^{\mu}} - \frac{d-2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}^{\mu}} \right]$$

Turns lowest KK mode into generating function for all others.

$$\mathcal{H}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(x_{ij}^2)\subset \mathcal{H}_{2222}(x_{ij}^2+t_{ij})$$

Higher dimensional blocks diagonalize this [Caron-Huot, Trinh; 1809.09173] .

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2222}(s,t) \to \mathcal{A}_{grav}(s,t) \propto \frac{1}{stu}$$
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2222}^{l_1l_2l_3l_4}(s,t) \to \mathcal{A}_{glu}^{l_1l_2l_3l_4}(s,t) \propto \frac{f^{l_1l_2J}f^{Jl_3l_4}}{su} - \frac{f^{l_1l_4J}f^{Jl_2l_3}}{tu}$$

Graviton (gluon) amplitude conformal for d = 10 (d = 8)!

$$K_{\mu} = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left[\frac{p_{i\mu}}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}} - p_{i}^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}^{\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}^{\mu}} - \frac{d-2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{i}^{\mu}} \right]$$

Turns lowest KK mode into generating function for all others.

$$\mathcal{H}_{k_1,k_2,k_3,k_4}(x_{ij}^2)\subset \mathcal{H}_{2222}(x_{ij}^2+t_{ij})$$

Background $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and brane locus $AdS_5 \times S^3$ are both conformally flat. Corrections in λ also organize this way [Caron-Huot, Coronado; 2106.03892].

An old conjecture

Actions for different d are perturbatively equivalent [Parisi, Sourlas; 1979].

$$S = \int d^d x d\theta d\bar{\theta} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \Phi \partial^2 \Phi + V(\Phi) \right] \leftrightarrow S = \int d^{d-2} x \left[-\frac{1}{2} \phi \partial^2 \phi + V(\phi) \right]$$

An old conjecture

Actions for different d are perturbatively equivalent [Parisi, Sourlas; 1979].

$$S = \int d^d x d\theta d\bar{\theta} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \Phi \partial^2 \Phi + V(\Phi) \right] \leftrightarrow S = \int d^{d-2} x \left[-\frac{1}{2} \phi \partial^2 \phi + V(\phi) \right]$$

LHS invariant under $\mathfrak{osp}(d+1,1|2)$ superalgebra.

$$\delta_{\mu
u}
ightarrow \delta_{\mu
u} \otimes \Omega_{+-}$$

 $P_{\mu}
ightarrow (P_{\mu}, Q_{+}, Q_{-}), \quad K_{\mu}
ightarrow (K_{\mu}, S_{+}, S_{-})$
Similar for $M_{\mu
u}$

An old conjecture

Actions for different d are perturbatively equivalent [Parisi, Sourlas; 1979].

$$S = \int d^d x d\theta d\bar{\theta} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \Phi \partial^2 \Phi + V(\Phi) \right] \leftrightarrow S = \int d^{d-2} x \left[-\frac{1}{2} \phi \partial^2 \phi + V(\phi) \right]$$

LHS invariant under $\mathfrak{osp}(d+1,1|2)$ superalgebra.

$$egin{aligned} &\delta_{\mu
u} o\delta_{\mu
u}\otimes\Omega_{+-}\ &P_{\mu} o(P_{\mu},Q_{+},Q_{-}),\quad &\mathcal{K}_{\mu} o(\mathcal{K}_{\mu},\mathcal{S}_{+},\mathcal{S}_{-})\ &Similar \ \ for \ \ &M_{\mu
u} \end{aligned}$$

Superblock can be expressed in two ways [Kaviraj, Rychkov, Trevisani; 1912.01617] .

$$G_{\Delta,\ell}^{(d-2)} = G_{\Delta,\ell}^{(d)} + c_{2,0}G_{\Delta+2,\ell}^{(d)} + c_{1,-1}G_{\Delta+1,\ell-1}^{(d)} + c_{0,-2}G_{\Delta,\ell-2}^{(d)} + c_{2,-2}G_{\Delta+2,\ell-2}^{(d)}$$

Five term relation has two terms for $\ell = 0$.

Parisi-Sourlas SUSY in holography

Residues of S_p involve $K_p^{i,j}(t, u)H_{p,m}^{i,j}$ or $K_p^i(t, u)H_{p,m}^i$.

$K_{p}^{i,j}(t,u)$	\hat{K}_{p}
$t + \Delta_1 - \Delta_4 - 2\epsilon \mathcal{E}$	$2V\partial_V$
$u - \Delta_1 - \Delta_3$	$-2U\partial_U - 2V\partial_V$
i, j	$\sigma\partial_{\sigma}, au\partial_{ au}$

Parisi-Sourlas SUSY in holography

Residues of S_p involve $K_p^{i,j}(t, u)H_{p,m}^{i,j}$ or $K_p^i(t, u)H_{p,m}^i$.

$K^{i,j}_{\rho}(t,u)$	<i>κ</i> _ρ
$t + \Delta_1 - \Delta_4 - 2\epsilon \mathcal{E}$	$2V\partial_V$
$u - \Delta_1 - \Delta_3$	$-2U\partial_U - 2V\partial_V$
i,j	$\sigma\partial_{\sigma}, au\partial_{ au}$

Special linear combinations are acted on by \hat{K}_p [CB, Ferrero, Zhou; 2101.04114].

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon p,0}^{(d-2)} &= \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon p,0}^{(d)} + c_{2,0}^{(d)} \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon p+2,0}^{(d)} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon p,0}^{(d-4)} &= \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon p,0}^{(d-2)} + c_{2,0}^{(d-2)} \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon p+2,0}^{(d-2)} \\ &= \left[\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon p,0}^{(d)} + c_{2,0}^{(d)} \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon p+2,0}^{(d)} \right] + c_{2,0}^{(d-2)} \left[\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon p+2,0}^{(d)} + c_{2,0}^{(d)} \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon p+4,0}^{(d)} \right] \end{split}$$

Parisi-Sourlas SUSY in holography

Residues of S_p involve $K_p^{i,j}(t, u)H_{p,m}^{i,j}$ or $K_p^i(t, u)H_{p,m}^i$.

$K_p^{i,j}(t,u)$	\hat{K}_{p}
$t + \Delta_1 - \Delta_4 - 2\epsilon \mathcal{E}$	$2V\partial_V$
$u - \Delta_1 - \Delta_3$	$-2U\partial_U - 2V\partial_V$
i,j	$\sigma\partial_{\sigma}, au\partial_{ au}$

Special linear combinations are acted on by \hat{K}_p [CB, Ferrero, Zhou; 2101.04114].

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon p,0}^{(d-2)} &= \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon p,0}^{(d)} + c_{2,0}^{(d)} \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon p+2,0}^{(d)} \\ \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon p,0}^{(d-4)} &= \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon p,0}^{(d-2)} + c_{2,0}^{(d-2)} \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon p+2,0}^{(d-2)} \\ &= \left[\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon p,0}^{(d)} + c_{2,0}^{(d)} \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon p+2,0}^{(d)} \right] + c_{2,0}^{(d-2)} \left[\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon p+2,0}^{(d)} + c_{2,0}^{(d)} \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon p+4,0}^{(d)} \right] \end{split}$$

 AdS_{d+1} and S^{n-1} dimensionality both reduce by same amount.

$$\mathcal{S}_{p}(x_{i},t_{i}) = C(k_{i},p)\widehat{K}_{p} \circ \left[W_{\epsilon p,0}^{(d-\#Qs/4)}(x_{i})Y_{p}^{(n-\#Qs/4)}(t_{i})\right]$$









- Explicit Witten diagrams are being replaced by more elegant bootstrap methods.
- Some of the structures revealed by them still have a mysterious origin.
- The chiral algebra and AdS unitarity method both enable a systematic exploration of loops.
- Possible to consider both gravitons $O(1/c_T)$ and gluons $O(1/c_J)$ to study backreaction on the brane.
- Future targets include S-fold theories and backgrounds with defects.