Better numerics

# Bootstrapping the Long-Range Ising Model

Connor Behan

#### C. N. Yang Institute for Theoretical Physics

1810.07199 1703.03430, 1703.05325 with L. Rastelli, S. Rychkov, B. Zan

Basic numerics

Better numerics

## The model

$$H_{LRI} = -J \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\sigma_i \sigma_j}{|i - j|^{d + s}}$$

- Second-order phase transition in  $1 \leq d < 4$  [Dyson; 69] .
- Possible to study with a  $\phi^4$  interaction [Fisher, Ma, Nickel; 72] .
- Critical exponents depend non-trivially on s for  $\frac{d}{2} < s < s_*$  [Sak; 73].
- MC estimates in 1D and 2D  $_{\rm [Angelini, \ Parisi, \ Ricci-Tersenghi; \ 1401.6805]}$  .
- Fixed point is conformal [Paulos, Rychkov, van Rees, Zan; 1509.00008] .

Basic numerics

Better numerics

## The model

$$H_{LRI} = -J \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{\sigma_i \sigma_j}{|i-j|^{d+s}}$$

- Second-order phase transition in  $1 \leq d < 4$  [Dyson; 69] .
- Possible to study with a  $\phi^4$  interaction [Fisher, Ma, Nickel; 72] .
- Critical exponents depend non-trivially on s for  $\frac{d}{2} < s < s_*$  [Sak; 73].
- MC estimates in 1D and 2D  $_{\rm [Angelini, \ Parisi, \ Ricci-Tersenghi; \ 1401.6805]}$  .
- Fixed point is conformal [Paulos, Rychkov, van Rees, Zan; 1509.00008] .

RG approaches to this critical point involve a fractional derivative:  $\partial^{\alpha} \mathcal{O}(x) = \int \frac{\mathcal{O}(y)}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}} dy$  in position space,  $\partial^{\alpha} \mathcal{O}(k) = |k|^{\alpha} \mathcal{O}(k)$  in momentum space.

Basic numerics

Better numerics

## The model

$$H_{LRI} = -J \sum_{i \neq j} rac{\sigma_i \sigma_j}{|i-j|^{d+s}}$$

- Second-order phase transition in  $1 \leq d < 4$  [Dyson; 69] .
- Possible to study with a  $\phi^4$  interaction [Fisher, Ma, Nickel; 72] .
- Critical exponents depend non-trivially on s for  $\frac{d}{2} < s < s_*$  [Sak; 73].
- MC estimates in 1D and 2D [Angelini, Parisi, Ricci-Tersenghi; 1401.6805] .
- Fixed point is conformal [Paulos, Rychkov, van Rees, Zan; 1509.00008] .

RG approaches to this critical point involve a fractional derivative:  $\partial^{\alpha} \mathcal{O}(x) = \int \frac{\mathcal{O}(y)}{|x-y|^{d+\alpha}} dy$  in position space,  $\partial^{\alpha} \mathcal{O}(k) = |k|^{\alpha} \mathcal{O}(k)$  in momentum space. This is the shadow transform if  $\alpha = d - 2\Delta$ .

Basic numerics

Better numerics

$$S_1[\phi] = \int rac{1}{2} \phi \partial^s \phi + rac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4 dx$$

- Local operator spectrum has no stress tensor.
- $S_1[\phi]$  lets observables be expanded in  $\varepsilon = 2s d$ .
- Do this in fixed dimension by varrying s.

Basic numerics

Better numerics

$$S_1[\phi] = \int rac{1}{2} \phi \partial^s \phi + rac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4 dx$$

- Local operator spectrum has no stress tensor.
- $S_1[\phi]$  lets observables be expanded in  $\varepsilon = 2s d$ .
- Do this in fixed dimension by varrying s.



Basic numerics

Better numerics

$$S_1[\phi] = \int rac{1}{2} \phi \partial^s \phi + rac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4 dx$$

- Local operator spectrum has no stress tensor.
- $S_1[\phi]$  lets observables be expanded in  $\varepsilon = 2s d$ .
- Do this in fixed dimension by varrying s.

	$s = \frac{d}{2}$	$s = s_*$
$\Delta_T$	$\frac{d+4}{2}$	d
$\Delta_{\phi}$	$\frac{\overline{d}}{4}$	$\Delta_{\sigma}^{SRI}$
$\Delta_{\phi^2}$	$\frac{d}{2}$	$\Delta_{\epsilon}^{SRI}$
$\Delta_{\phi^3}$	$\frac{3\overline{d}}{4}$	??

Basic numerics

Better numerics

#### Protected operators



Basic numerics

Better numerics

## Protected operators

$$k \longrightarrow k = \frac{\lambda^2}{(4\pi)^d} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3\varepsilon-d}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{d-\varepsilon}{4}\right)^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3d-3\varepsilon}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{d+\varepsilon}{4}\right)^3} |k|^{\frac{d}{2}} + \dots$$

• We expect  $\Delta_{\phi} = \frac{d-s}{2}$  at all loop orders due to nonlocality. This was proven rigorously in [Lohmann, Slade, Wallace; 1705.08540].

Basic numerics

Better numerics

## Protected operators

$$k \longrightarrow k = \frac{\lambda^2}{(4\pi)^d} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3\varepsilon-d}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{d-\varepsilon}{4}\right)^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3d-3\varepsilon}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{d+\varepsilon}{4}\right)^3} |k|^{\frac{d}{2}} + \dots$$

- We expect  $\Delta_{\phi} = \frac{d-s}{2}$  at all loop orders due to nonlocality. This was proven rigorously in [Lohmann, Slade, Wallace; 1705.08540].
- In the usual Wilson-Fisher fixed point,  $\partial^2 \phi = \frac{\lambda}{3!} \phi^3$ .  $\phi^3$  is a descendant because  $\partial^2$  is a conformal generator.

Basic numerics

Better numerics

## Protected operators

$$k \longrightarrow k = \frac{\lambda^2}{(4\pi)^d} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3\varepsilon-d}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{d-\varepsilon}{4}\right)^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3d-3\varepsilon}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{d+\varepsilon}{4}\right)^3} |k|^{\frac{d}{2}} + \dots$$

- We expect  $\Delta_{\phi} = \frac{d-s}{2}$  at all loop orders due to nonlocality. This was proven rigorously in [Lohmann, Slade, Wallace; 1705.08540].
- In the usual Wilson-Fisher fixed point,  $\partial^2 \phi = \frac{\lambda}{3!} \phi^3$ .  $\phi^3$  is a descendant because  $\partial^2$  is a conformal generator.
- Instead, our EOM is nonlocal:  $\partial^{s}\phi = \frac{\lambda}{3!}\phi^{3}$ .  $\phi^{3}$  is a primary constrained to have  $\Delta_{\phi^{3}} = \frac{d+s}{2}$ .

Basic numerics

Better numerics

## Protected operators

$$k \longrightarrow k = \frac{\lambda^2}{(4\pi)^d} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3\varepsilon-d}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{d-\varepsilon}{4}\right)^3}{\Gamma\left(\frac{3d-3\varepsilon}{4}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{d+\varepsilon}{4}\right)^3} |k|^{\frac{d}{2}} + \dots$$

- We expect  $\Delta_{\phi} = \frac{d-s}{2}$  at all loop orders due to nonlocality. This was proven rigorously in [Lohmann, Slade, Wallace; 1705.08540].
- In the usual Wilson-Fisher fixed point,  $\partial^2 \phi = \frac{\lambda}{3!} \phi^3$ .  $\phi^3$  is a descendant because  $\partial^2$  is a conformal generator.
- Instead, our EOM is nonlocal:  $\partial^{s}\phi = \frac{\lambda}{3!}\phi^{3}$ .  $\phi^{3}$  is a primary constrained to have  $\Delta_{\phi^{3}} = \frac{d+s}{2}$ .

Introduce a mean-field  $\chi$  at the short-range end to represent  $\phi^3$ .  $\Delta_{\sigma}\sigma\partial_{\mu}\chi - \Delta_{\chi}\chi\partial_{\mu}\sigma$  will then represent  $\partial^{\nu}T_{\mu\nu}$ .

Basic numerics

Better numerics

$$S_1[\phi] = \int rac{1}{2} \phi \partial^s \phi + rac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^4 dx$$

- Local operator spectrum has no stress tensor.
- $S_1[\phi]$  lets observables be expanded in  $\varepsilon = 2s d$ .
- Do this in fixed dimension by varrying s.



Basic numerics

Better numerics

$$S_{1}[\phi] = \int \frac{1}{2} \phi \partial^{s} \phi + \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^{4} dx$$
$$S_{2}[\sigma, \chi] = S_{SRI}[\sigma] + \int \frac{1}{2} \chi \partial^{-s} \chi + g \sigma \chi dx$$

- Local operator spectrum has no stress tensor.
- S<sub>1</sub>[φ] lets observables be expanded in ε = 2s − d.
- Do this in fixed dimension by varrying s.
- $S_2[\sigma, \chi]$  lets observables be expanded in  $\delta = \frac{1}{2}(s_* s)$ .
- Do this with conformal perturbation theory.

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline & s = \frac{d}{2} & s = s_{*} \\ \hline \Delta_{\mathcal{T}} & \frac{d+4}{2} & d \\ \Delta_{\phi} & \frac{d}{4} & \frac{d-s_{*}}{2} \equiv \Delta_{\sigma}^{SRI} \\ \Delta_{\phi^{2}} & \frac{d}{2} & \Delta_{\epsilon}^{SRI} \\ \Delta_{\phi^{3}} & \frac{3d}{4} & \frac{d+s_{*}}{2} \equiv d - \Delta_{\sigma}^{SRI} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Basic numerics

Better numerics

$$S_{1}[\phi] = \int \frac{1}{2} \phi \partial^{s} \phi + \frac{\lambda}{4!} \phi^{4} dx$$
$$S_{2}[\sigma, \chi] = S_{SRI}[\sigma] + \int \frac{1}{2} \chi \partial^{-s} \chi + g \sigma \chi dx$$

- Local operator spectrum has no stress tensor.
- S<sub>1</sub>[φ] lets observables be expanded in ε = 2s − d.
- Do this in fixed dimension by varrying s.
- $S_2[\sigma, \chi]$  lets observables be expanded in  $\delta = \frac{1}{2}(s_* s)$ .
- Do this with conformal perturbation theory.

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline & s = \frac{d}{2} & s = s_{*} \\ \hline \Delta_{\tau} & \Delta_{\tau} & \frac{d+4}{2} & d \\ \Delta_{\phi} & \Delta_{\sigma} & \frac{d}{4} & \frac{d-s_{*}}{2} \equiv \Delta_{\sigma}^{SRI} \\ \hline \Delta_{\phi^{2}} & \Delta_{\epsilon} & \frac{d}{2} & \Delta_{\epsilon}^{SRI} \\ \hline \Delta_{\phi^{3}} & \Delta_{\chi} & \frac{3d}{4} & \frac{d+s_{*}}{2} \equiv d - \Delta_{\sigma}^{SRI} \\ \hline \end{array}$$

Basic numerics

Better numerics

## Unprotected operators



Basic numerics

Better numerics

## Unprotected operators



Dimension at two loops, [CB; 1810.07199]

$$\Delta_{T} = rac{d+4-arepsilon}{2} - rac{8}{d(d+2)}\left(rac{arepsilon}{3}
ight)^2 + O(arepsilon^3)$$

Basic numerics

Better numerics

## Unprotected operators



Dimension at two loops, [CB; 1810.07199]

$$\Delta_{T} = \frac{d+4-\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{8}{d(d+2)} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)^{2} + O(\varepsilon^{3})$$

Harder one was already known, [Fisher, Ma, Nickel; 72]

$$\Delta_{\phi^2} = rac{d-arepsilon}{2} + rac{arepsilon}{3} + \left[\psi(1) - 2\psi\left(rac{d}{4}
ight) + \psi\left(rac{d}{2}
ight)
ight] \left(rac{arepsilon}{3}
ight)^2 + O(arepsilon^3)$$

Basic numerics

Better numerics

#### Unprotected operators



Dimension at two loops, [CB; 1810.07199]

$$\Delta_{T} = \frac{d+4-\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{8}{d(d+2)} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{3}\right)^{2} + O(\varepsilon^{3})$$

Harder one was already known, [Fisher, Ma, Nickel; 72]

$$\Delta_{\phi^2} = rac{d-arepsilon}{2} + rac{arepsilon}{3} + \left[\psi(1) - 2\psi\left(rac{d}{4}
ight) + \psi\left(rac{d}{2}
ight)
ight] \left(rac{arepsilon}{3}
ight)^2 + O(arepsilon^3)$$

Dual expressions in 3D using bootstrap data, [CB, Rastelli, Rychkov, Zan; 1703.03430]

$$egin{array}{rcl} \Delta_{\mathcal{T}} &=& 3+2.33\delta+O(\delta^2) \ \Delta_{\epsilon} &=& \Delta^{SRI}_{\epsilon}+0.27\delta+O(\delta^2) \end{array}$$

Basic numerics

Better numerics

# Aside

In free theory,  $\phi \times \phi$  includes only  $[\phi \phi]_{n,\ell} \sim \phi \partial_{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_\ell} \partial^{2n} \phi$ .

Better numerics

# Aside

$$G(z,ar{z}) = 1 + \sum_{n,\ell} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(z,ar{z})$$

Better numerics

# Aside

$$G(z,\bar{z}) = 1 + \sum_{n,\ell} \left( a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} + a_{n,\ell}^{(1)} + \ldots \right) G_{\Delta_{n,\ell} + \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)} + \ldots,\ell}(z,\bar{z})$$

Better numerics

# Aside

$$\begin{aligned} G(z,\bar{z}) &= 1 + \sum_{n,\ell} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(z,\bar{z}) \\ &+ \sum_{n,\ell} a_{n,\ell}^{(1)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(z,\bar{z}) + \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(z,\bar{z}) \\ &+ \sum_{n,\ell} \left[ a_{n,\ell}^{(2)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(z,\bar{z}) + 2\gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)} a_{n,\ell}^{(1)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(z,\bar{z}) \right. \\ &+ \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(2)} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(z,\bar{z}) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)2} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(z,\bar{z}) \right] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Better numerics

# Aside

$$\begin{aligned} G(z,\bar{z}) &= 1 + \sum_{n,\ell} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(z,\bar{z}) \\ &+ \sum_{n,\ell} a_{n,\ell}^{(1)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(z,\bar{z}) + \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(z,\bar{z}) \\ &+ \sum_{n,\ell} \left[ a_{n,\ell}^{(2)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(z,\bar{z}) + 2\gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)} a_{n,\ell}^{(1)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(z,\bar{z}) \right. \\ &+ \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(2)} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(z,\bar{z}) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)2} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(z,\bar{z}) \right] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Better numerics

# Aside

In free theory,  $\phi \times \phi$  includes only  $[\phi\phi]_{n,\ell} \sim \phi\partial_{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_\ell}\partial^{2n}\phi$ . Consider trajectories in  $G(z,\bar{z}) = |z|^{2\Delta_{\phi}} \langle \phi(0)\phi(z,\bar{z})\phi(1)\phi(\infty) \rangle$ .

$$\begin{aligned} G(z,\bar{z}) &= 1 + \sum_{n,\ell} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(z,\bar{z}) \\ &+ \sum_{n,\ell} a_{n,\ell}^{(1)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(z,\bar{z}) + \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(z,\bar{z}) \\ &+ \sum_{n,\ell} \left[ a_{n,\ell}^{(2)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(z,\bar{z}) + 2\gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)} a_{n,\ell}^{(1)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(z,\bar{z}) \right. \\ &+ \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(2)} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(z,\bar{z}) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)2} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(z,\bar{z}) \right] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The double-log in direct channel is simply  $\gamma_{\phi^2}^{(1)}G_{d/2,0}(z,\bar{z})$ .

Better numerics

# Aside

In free theory,  $\phi \times \phi$  includes only  $[\phi\phi]_{n,\ell} \sim \phi\partial_{\mu_1} \dots \partial_{\mu_\ell}\partial^{2n}\phi$ . Consider trajectories in  $G(z,\bar{z}) = |z|^{2\Delta_{\phi}} \langle \phi(0)\phi(z,\bar{z})\phi(1)\phi(\infty) \rangle$ .

$$\begin{aligned} G(z,\bar{z}) &= 1 + \sum_{n,\ell} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(z,\bar{z}) \\ &+ \sum_{n,\ell} a_{n,\ell}^{(1)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(z,\bar{z}) + \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(z,\bar{z}) \\ &+ \sum_{n,\ell} \left[ a_{n,\ell}^{(2)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(z,\bar{z}) + 2\gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)} a_{n,\ell}^{(1)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(z,\bar{z}) \right. \\ &+ \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(2)} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(z,\bar{z}) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(1)2} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}'(z,\bar{z}) \right] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

The double-log in direct channel is simply  $\gamma_{\phi^2}^{(1)} G_{d/2,0}(z, \bar{z})$ . Crossed version must give double-log in  $\sum_{n,\ell} \gamma_{n,\ell}^{(2)} a_{n,\ell}^{(0)} G'_{\Delta_{n,\ell},\ell}(z, \bar{z})$ .

Basic numerics

Better numerics

# Aside

A double-log is also a double-discontinuity defined by

$$dDisc[f(z,\bar{z})] = f(z,\bar{z}) - \frac{1}{2} \left[ f(z,e^{2\pi i}\bar{z}) + f(z,e^{-2\pi i}\bar{z}) \right]$$

Basic numerics

Better numerics

## Aside

A double-log is also a double-discontinuity defined by

$$dDisc[f(z,\bar{z})] = f(z,\bar{z}) - \frac{1}{2} \left[ f(z,e^{2\pi i}\bar{z}) + f(z,e^{-2\pi i}\bar{z}) \right]$$

Full spectral density encoded in this [Caron-Huot; 1703.00278] !

Basic numerics

Better numerics

## Aside

A double-log is also a double-discontinuity defined by

$$dDisc[f(z,\bar{z})] = f(z,\bar{z}) - \frac{1}{2} \left[ f(z,e^{2\pi i}\bar{z}) + f(z,e^{-2\pi i}\bar{z}) \right]$$

Full spectral density encoded in this [Caron-Huot; 1703.00278] !

$$c(\Delta, \ell) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta+\ell}{2}\right)^4}{4\pi^2 \Gamma(\Delta+\ell) \Gamma(\Delta+\ell-1)} \\ \int_0^1 \int_0^1 G_{\ell+d-1,\Delta+1-d}(z,\bar{z}) dDisc \left[G(z,\bar{z})\right] \frac{|z-\bar{z}|^{d-2}}{(z\bar{z})^d} dz d\bar{z}$$



Kink from  $\langle \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \rangle$ : [El-Showk, Paulos, Poland, Rychkov, Simmons-Duffin, Vichi; 1203.6064].







Better numerics

# Bootstrapping a four-point function

Identical scalar case:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\phi(x_3)\phi(x_4)\rangle &= \frac{G(u,v)}{|x_{12}|^{2\Delta_{\phi}}|x_{34}|^{2\Delta_{\phi}}}\\ u &= \frac{x_{12}^2x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2x_{24}^2} \quad , \quad v = \frac{x_{14}^2x_{23}^2}{x_{13}^2x_{24}^2} \end{aligned}$$

# Bootstrapping a four-point function

Identical scalar case:

$$\langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\phi(x_3)\phi(x_4)\rangle = \frac{G(u,v)}{|x_{12}|^{2\Delta_{\phi}}|x_{34}|^{2\Delta_{\phi}}} \\ u = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2} \quad , \quad v = \frac{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}$$

Ansatz from the operator product expansion:

$$G(u,v) = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 G_{\mathcal{O}}(u,v)$$

# Bootstrapping a four-point function

Identical scalar case:

$$\langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\phi(x_3)\phi(x_4)\rangle = \frac{G(u,v)}{|x_{12}|^{2\Delta_{\phi}}|x_{34}|^{2\Delta_{\phi}}} \\ u = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2} \quad , \quad v = \frac{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}$$

Ansatz from the operator product expansion:

$$G(u, v) = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 G_{\mathcal{O}}(u, v)$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{\Delta_{\phi}} G_{\mathcal{O}}(v, u)$$

# Bootstrapping a four-point function

Identical scalar case:

$$\langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\phi(x_3)\phi(x_4) \rangle = \frac{G(u,v)}{|x_{12}|^{2\Delta_{\phi}}|x_{34}|^{2\Delta_{\phi}}} \\ u = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2} \quad , \quad v = \frac{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}$$

Ansatz from the operator product expansion:

$$G(u, v) = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 G_{\mathcal{O}}(u, v)$$
$$= \sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{\Delta_{\phi}} G_{\mathcal{O}}(v, u)$$

Crossing equation with  $\lambda_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 \geq 0$  by unitarity:

$$\sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 \left[ v^{\Delta_{\phi}} G_{\mathcal{O}}(u,v) - u^{\Delta_{\phi}} G_{\mathcal{O}}(v,u) \right] = 0$$

Better numerics

# Bootstrapping a four-point function

Identical scalar case:

$$\langle \phi(x_1)\phi(x_2)\phi(x_3)\phi(x_4) \rangle = \frac{G(u,v)}{|x_{12}|^{2\Delta_{\phi}}|x_{34}|^{2\Delta_{\phi}}} \\ u = \frac{x_{12}^2 x_{34}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2} \quad , \quad v = \frac{x_{14}^2 x_{23}^2}{x_{13}^2 x_{24}^2}$$

Ansatz from the operator product expansion:

$$G(u, v) = \sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 G_{\mathcal{O}}(u, v)$$
$$= \sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^{\Delta_{\phi}} G_{\mathcal{O}}(v, u)$$

Crossing equation with  $\lambda_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 \geq 0$  by unitarity:

$$\sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 \left[ v^{\Delta_{\phi}} G_{\mathcal{O}}(u,v) - u^{\Delta_{\phi}} G_{\mathcal{O}}(v,u) \right] = 0$$

Find positive functional [Rattazzi, Rychkov, Tonni, Vichi; 0807.0004] !

# Bootstrapping many four-point functions If we define

$$F_{\pm,\mathcal{O}}^{ij;kl} = v^{\frac{\Delta_k + \Delta_j}{2}} G_{\mathcal{O}}^{\Delta_{ij},\Delta_{kl}}(u,v) \pm u^{\frac{\Delta_k + \Delta_j}{2}} G_{\mathcal{O}}^{\Delta_{ij},\Delta_{kl}}(v,u) ,$$

the single correlator crossing equation is

$$\sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 F_{-,\mathcal{O}}^{\phi\phi;\phi\phi}(u,v) = 0$$

# Bootstrapping many four-point functions If we define

$$F_{\pm,\mathcal{O}}^{ij;kl} = v^{\frac{\Delta_k + \Delta_j}{2}} G_{\mathcal{O}}^{\Delta_{ij},\Delta_{kl}}(u,v) \pm u^{\frac{\Delta_k + \Delta_j}{2}} G_{\mathcal{O}}^{\Delta_{ij},\Delta_{kl}}(v,u) ,$$

the single correlator crossing equation is

$$\sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 F_{-,\mathcal{O}}^{\phi\phi;\phi\phi}(u,v) = 0$$

Mixed correlator like  $\langle \phi \phi \Phi \Phi \rangle$  gives three equations:

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\phi \Phi \mathcal{O}}^{2} F_{-,\mathcal{O}}^{\phi \Phi;\phi \Phi}(u,v) = 0 \\ &\sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\phi \phi \mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\Phi \Phi \mathcal{O}} F_{-,\mathcal{O}}^{\phi \phi;\Phi \Phi}(u,v) + \sum_{\mathcal{O}} (-1)^{\ell} \lambda_{\phi \Phi \mathcal{O}}^{2} F_{-,\mathcal{O}}^{\Phi \phi;\phi \Phi}(u,v) = 0 \\ &\sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\phi \phi \mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\Phi \Phi \mathcal{O}} F_{+,\mathcal{O}}^{\phi \phi;\Phi \Phi}(u,v) - \sum_{\mathcal{O}} (-1)^{\ell} \lambda_{\phi \Phi \mathcal{O}}^{2} F_{+,\mathcal{O}}^{\Phi \phi;\phi \Phi}(u,v) = 0 \end{split}$$

# Bootstrapping many four-point functions If we define

$$F_{\pm,\mathcal{O}}^{ij;kl} = v^{\frac{\Delta_k + \Delta_j}{2}} G_{\mathcal{O}}^{\Delta_{ij},\Delta_{kl}}(u,v) \pm u^{\frac{\Delta_k + \Delta_j}{2}} G_{\mathcal{O}}^{\Delta_{ij},\Delta_{kl}}(v,u) ,$$

the single correlator crossing equation is

$$\sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}}^2 F_{-,\mathcal{O}}^{\phi\phi;\phi\phi}(u,v) = 0$$

Mixed correlator like  $\langle \phi \phi \Phi \Phi \rangle$  gives three equations:

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\phi\Phi\mathcal{O}}^2 F_{-,\mathcal{O}}^{\phi\Phi;\phi\Phi}(u,v) = 0 \\ &\sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\Phi\Phi\mathcal{O}} F_{-,\mathcal{O}}^{\phi\phi;\Phi\Phi}(u,v) + \sum_{\mathcal{O}} (-1)^\ell \lambda_{\phi\Phi\mathcal{O}}^2 F_{-,\mathcal{O}}^{\Phi\phi;\phi\Phi}(u,v) = 0 \\ &\sum_{\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\phi\phi\mathcal{O}} \lambda_{\Phi\Phi\mathcal{O}} F_{+,\mathcal{O}}^{\phi\phi;\Phi\Phi}(u,v) - \sum_{\mathcal{O}} (-1)^\ell \lambda_{\phi\Phi\mathcal{O}}^2 F_{+,\mathcal{O}}^{\Phi\phi;\phi\Phi}(u,v) = 0 \end{split}$$

Rule out solutions with SDPB  $\cite{SDPB}$  [Simmons-Duffin; 1502.02033] .



Red region should move right by  $\approx 5\%$ .





Red region should move right by  $\approx 5\%$ .



Red region should move right by  $\approx 5\%$ .





With three correlators, we can see  $\chi$  decouple at the SRI point.



With three correlators, we can see  $\chi$  decouple at the SRI point.



With three correlators, we can see  $\chi$  decouple at the SRI point. Should really include  $\langle \sigma \sigma \chi \chi \rangle$ ,  $\langle \epsilon \epsilon \chi \chi \rangle$ ,  $\langle \chi \chi \chi \chi \rangle$ .

Basic numerics

Better numerics

# The shadow relation

Nonlocal EOM  $\chi = g \partial^s \sigma$  expands to

$$n_{\chi}(s)\chi(x) = \int \frac{n_{\sigma}(s)\sigma(y)}{|x-y|^{d+s}}dy$$

Basic numerics

Better numerics

## The shadow relation

Nonlocal EOM  $\chi = g \partial^s \sigma$  expands to

$$n_{\chi}(s)\chi(x) = \int \frac{n_{\sigma}(s)\sigma(y)}{|x-y|^{d+s}}dy$$

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Relate 3pt functions with Symanzik:} \\ \frac{\lambda_{12\sigma}}{|x_{10}|^{\Delta\sigma+\Delta_{12}}|x_{20}|^{\Delta\sigma-\Delta_{12}}|x_{12}|^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta\sigma}} & \mapsto & \frac{\lambda_{12\chi}}{|x_{10}|^{\Delta\chi+\Delta_{12}}|x_{20}|^{\Delta\chi-\Delta_{12}}|x_{12}|^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta\chi}} \end{array}$ 

Better numerics

## The shadow relation

Nonlocal EOM  $\chi = g \partial^s \sigma$  expands to

$$n_{\chi}(s)\chi(x) = \int \frac{n_{\sigma}(s)\sigma(y)}{|x-y|^{d+s}}dy$$

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Relate 3pt functions with Symanzik:} \\ \frac{\lambda_{12\sigma}}{|x_{10}|^{\Delta_{\sigma}+\Delta_{12}}|x_{20}|^{\Delta_{\sigma}-\Delta_{12}}|x_{12}|^{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{\sigma}}} & \mapsto & \frac{\lambda_{12\chi}}{|x_{10}|^{\Delta_{\chi}+\Delta_{12}}|x_{20}|^{\Delta_{\chi}-\Delta_{12}}|x_{12}|^{\Delta_{1}+\Delta_{2}-\Delta_{\chi}}} \end{array}$ 

Do this twice to cancel the norms

$$rac{\lambda_{12\chi}}{\lambda_{12\sigma}} = rac{n_{\sigma}(s)}{n_{\chi}(s)} R_{12} \quad rac{\lambda_{34\chi}}{\lambda_{34\sigma}} = rac{n_{\sigma}(s)}{n_{\chi}(s)} R_{34\sigma}$$

Better numerics

## The shadow relation

Nonlocal EOM  $\chi = g \partial^s \sigma$  expands to

$$n_{\chi}(s)\chi(x) = \int \frac{n_{\sigma}(s)\sigma(y)}{|x-y|^{d+s}}dy$$

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{Relate 3pt functions with Symanzik:} \\ \frac{\lambda_{12\sigma}}{|x_{10}|^{\Delta\sigma+\Delta_{12}}|x_{20}|^{\Delta\sigma-\Delta_{12}}|x_{12}|^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta\sigma}} & \mapsto & \frac{\lambda_{12\chi}}{|x_{10}|^{\Delta\chi+\Delta_{12}}|x_{20}|^{\Delta\chi-\Delta_{12}}|x_{12}|^{\Delta_1+\Delta_2-\Delta\chi}} \end{array}$ 

Do this twice to cancel the norms

$$\frac{\lambda_{12\chi}}{\lambda_{12\sigma}} = \frac{n_{\sigma}(s)}{n_{\chi}(s)}R_{12} \quad \frac{\lambda_{34\chi}}{\lambda_{34\sigma}} = \frac{n_{\sigma}(s)}{n_{\chi}(s)}R_{34\sigma}$$

Result for  $\langle \sigma(x_0)\phi_1(x_1)\phi_2(x_2)\rangle$ :

$$R_{12} = \pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\Delta_{\sigma} - \frac{d}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{\chi} + \Delta_{12}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{\chi} - \Delta_{12}}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\Delta_{\chi}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{\sigma} + \Delta_{12}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{\sigma} - \Delta_{12}}{2}\right)}$$

Better numerics

## The shadow relation

Nonlocal EOM  $\chi = g \partial^s \sigma$  expands to

$$n_{\chi}(s)\chi(x) = \int \frac{n_{\sigma}(s)\sigma(y)}{|x-y|^{d+s}}dy$$

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Relate 3pt functions with Symanzik:} & [Paulos, Rychkov, van Rees, Zan; 1509.00008] \\ \hline & \lambda_{12\sigma} & & & \\ \hline & \lambda_{12} & & & \\ \hline & \lambda_{12}$ 

Do this twice to cancel the norms

$$\frac{\lambda_{12\chi}}{\lambda_{12\sigma}} = \frac{n_{\sigma}(s)}{n_{\chi}(s)}R_{12} \quad \frac{\lambda_{34\chi}}{\lambda_{34\sigma}} = \frac{n_{\sigma}(s)}{n_{\chi}(s)}R_{34\sigma}$$

Result for  $\langle \sigma(x_0)\phi(x_1)\mathcal{O}_{\mu_1\dots\mu_\ell}(x_2)\rangle$ :

$$R_{12} = \pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\Delta_{\sigma} - \frac{d}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{\chi} + \Delta_{12} + \ell}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{\chi} - \Delta_{12} + \ell}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\Delta_{\chi}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{\sigma} + \Delta_{12} + \ell}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{\sigma} - \Delta_{12} + \ell}{2}\right)}$$

Better numerics

## The shadow relation

Nonlocal EOM  $\chi = g \partial^s \sigma$  expands to

$$n_{\chi}(s)\chi(x) = \int \frac{n_{\sigma}(s)\sigma(y)}{|x-y|^{d+s}}dy$$

 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Relate 3pt functions with Symanzik:} & [Paulos, Rychkov, van Rees, Zan; 1509.00008] \\ \hline & \lambda_{12\sigma} & & & \\ \hline & \lambda_{12} & & & \\ \hline & \lambda_{12}$ 

Do this twice to cancel the norms

$$rac{\lambda_{12\chi}}{\lambda_{12\sigma}} = rac{n_{\sigma}(s)}{n_{\chi}(s)}R_{12} \quad rac{\lambda_{34\chi}}{\lambda_{34\sigma}} = rac{n_{\sigma}(s)}{n_{\chi}(s)}R_{34\sigma}$$

Result for  $\langle \sigma(x_0)\phi(x_1)\mathcal{O}_{\mu_1\dots\mu_\ell}(x_2)\rangle$ :

$$R_{12} = \pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(\Delta_{\sigma} - \frac{d}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{\chi} + \Delta_{12} + \ell}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{\chi} - \Delta_{12} + \ell}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\Delta_{\chi}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{\sigma} + \Delta_{12} + \ell}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta_{\sigma} - \Delta_{12} + \ell}{2}\right)}$$

Embedding space treatment of conformal integrals [Simmons-Duffin; 1204.3894] .

Better numerics

## The shadow relation

Interesting choice is  $1 = \sigma$ ,  $2 = \epsilon$ ,  $3 = \chi$  and  $4 = \epsilon$ .

$$\lambda_{\sigma\chi\epsilon}^2 = \frac{R_{\sigma\epsilon}}{R_{\chi\epsilon}} \lambda_{\sigma\sigma\epsilon} \lambda_{\chi\chi\epsilon}$$

Better numerics

# The shadow relation

Interesting choice is  $1 = \sigma$ , 2 = O,  $3 = \chi$  and 4 = O.

$$\lambda_{\sigma\chi\mathcal{O}}^2 = \frac{R_{\sigma\mathcal{O}}}{R_{\chi\mathcal{O}}}\lambda_{\sigma\sigma\mathcal{O}}\lambda_{\chi\chi\mathcal{O}}$$

Better numerics

# The shadow relation

Interesting choice is  $1 = \sigma$ , 2 = O,  $3 = \chi$  and 4 = O.

$$\lambda_{\sigma\chi\mathcal{O}}^2 = \frac{R_{\sigma\mathcal{O}}}{R_{\chi\mathcal{O}}}\lambda_{\sigma\sigma\mathcal{O}}\lambda_{\chi\chi\mathcal{O}}$$

For even spin, work with superblocks instead of individual  $\lambda_{\sigma\sigma\mathcal{O}}\lambda_{\chi\chi\mathcal{O}}G^{0,0}_{\mathcal{O}}(u,v)$  and  $\lambda^2_{\sigma\chi\mathcal{O}}G^{\Delta_{\chi\sigma},\Delta_{\sigma\chi}}_{\mathcal{O}}(u,v)$ .

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}}(u,v) = G_{\mathcal{O}}^{0,0}(u,v) + v^{\Delta_{\sigma} - \frac{d}{2}} \frac{R_{\sigma\mathcal{O}}}{R_{\chi\mathcal{O}}} G_{\mathcal{O}}^{\Delta_{\chi\sigma},\Delta_{\sigma\chi}}(u,v)$$

Better numerics

# The shadow relation

Interesting choice is  $1 = \sigma$ , 2 = O,  $3 = \chi$  and 4 = O.

$$\lambda_{\sigma\chi\mathcal{O}}^2 = \frac{R_{\sigma\mathcal{O}}}{R_{\chi\mathcal{O}}}\lambda_{\sigma\sigma\mathcal{O}}\lambda_{\chi\chi\mathcal{O}}$$

For even spin, work with superblocks instead of individual  $\lambda_{\sigma\sigma\mathcal{O}}\lambda_{\chi\chi\mathcal{O}}G^{0,0}_{\mathcal{O}}(u,v)$  and  $\lambda^2_{\sigma\chi\mathcal{O}}G^{\Delta_{\chi\sigma},\Delta_{\sigma\chi}}_{\mathcal{O}}(u,v)$ .

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}}(u,v) = G_{\mathcal{O}}^{0,0}(u,v) + v^{\Delta_{\sigma} - \frac{d}{2}} \frac{R_{\sigma\mathcal{O}}}{R_{\chi\mathcal{O}}} G_{\mathcal{O}}^{\Delta_{\chi\sigma},\Delta_{\sigma\chi}}(u,v)$$

For odd spin,  $\lambda_{\sigma\sigma\mathcal{O}} = \lambda_{\chi\chi\mathcal{O}} = 0$  but  $\lambda_{\sigma\chi\mathcal{O}} \neq 0$ .

Better numerics

# The shadow relation

Interesting choice is  $1 = \sigma$ , 2 = O,  $3 = \chi$  and 4 = O.

$$\lambda_{\sigma\chi\mathcal{O}}^2 = \frac{R_{\sigma\mathcal{O}}}{R_{\chi\mathcal{O}}}\lambda_{\sigma\sigma\mathcal{O}}\lambda_{\chi\chi\mathcal{O}}$$

For even spin, work with superblocks instead of individual  $\lambda_{\sigma\sigma\mathcal{O}}\lambda_{\chi\chi\mathcal{O}}G^{0,0}_{\mathcal{O}}(u,v)$  and  $\lambda^2_{\sigma\chi\mathcal{O}}G^{\Delta_{\chi\sigma},\Delta_{\sigma\chi}}_{\mathcal{O}}(u,v)$ .

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}}(u,v) = G_{\mathcal{O}}^{0,0}(u,v) + v^{\Delta_{\sigma} - \frac{d}{2}} \frac{R_{\sigma\mathcal{O}}}{R_{\chi\mathcal{O}}} G_{\mathcal{O}}^{\Delta_{\chi\sigma},\Delta_{\sigma\chi}}(u,v)$$

For odd spin,  $\lambda_{\sigma\sigma\mathcal{O}} = \lambda_{\chi\chi\mathcal{O}} = 0$  but  $\lambda_{\sigma\chi\mathcal{O}} \neq 0$ .

$$\frac{R_{\sigma\mathcal{O}}}{R_{\chi\mathcal{O}}} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-\Delta+\ell}{2}\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{d-2\Delta_{\sigma}+\Delta+\ell}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2\Delta_{\sigma}-d+\Delta+\ell}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta+\ell}{2}\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{2\Delta_{\sigma}-\Delta+\ell}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2d-2\Delta_{\sigma}-\Delta+\ell}{2}\right)}$$

Better numerics

# The shadow relation

Interesting choice is  $1 = \sigma$ , 2 = O,  $3 = \chi$  and 4 = O.

$$\lambda_{\sigma\chi\mathcal{O}}^2 = \frac{R_{\sigma\mathcal{O}}}{R_{\chi\mathcal{O}}}\lambda_{\sigma\sigma\mathcal{O}}\lambda_{\chi\chi\mathcal{O}}$$

For even spin, work with superblocks instead of individual  $\lambda_{\sigma\sigma\mathcal{O}}\lambda_{\chi\chi\mathcal{O}}G_{\mathcal{O}}^{0,0}(u,v)$  and  $\lambda_{\sigma\chi\mathcal{O}}^2G_{\mathcal{O}}^{\Delta_{\chi\sigma},\Delta_{\sigma\chi}}(u,v)$ .

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}}(u,v) = G_{\mathcal{O}}^{0,0}(u,v) + v^{\Delta_{\sigma} - \frac{d}{2}} \frac{R_{\sigma\mathcal{O}}}{R_{\chi\mathcal{O}}} G_{\mathcal{O}}^{\Delta_{\chi\sigma},\Delta_{\sigma\chi}}(u,v)$$

For odd spin,  $\lambda_{\sigma\sigma\mathcal{O}} = \lambda_{\chi\chi\mathcal{O}} = 0$  but  $\lambda_{\sigma\chi\mathcal{O}} \neq 0$ .

$$\frac{R_{\sigma\mathcal{O}}}{R_{\chi\mathcal{O}}} = \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-\Delta+\ell}{2}\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{d-2\Delta_{\sigma}+\Delta+\ell}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2\Delta_{\sigma}-d+\Delta+\ell}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\Delta+\ell}{2}\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{2\Delta_{\sigma}-\Delta+\ell}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{2d-2\Delta_{\sigma}-\Delta+\ell}{2}\right)}$$

If the dimension of  $[\sigma \chi]_{n,\ell}$  ever moves away from the pole, OPE coefficients must jump to zero.







Interesting structure near MFT.

Region further splits into lobes after a higher precision scan.



Interesting structure near MFT.

Region further splits into lobes after a higher precision scan.



To improve this region, use superblocks and impose another gap:  $\Delta_T \in \{3.1\} \cup (4.5, \infty)$  instead of  $\Delta_T \in (3.1, \infty)$ .

Basic numerics

Better numerics

## Future improvements

SDPB requires "positive-times-polynomial" form for each block:

$$\partial_z^m \partial_{\bar{z}}^n G_{\Delta,\ell} \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) = \chi_{\ell}(\Delta) P_{\ell}^{(m,n)}(\Delta)$$
$$\chi_{\ell}(\Delta) = \frac{e^{c\Delta}}{\prod_{k=1}^N (\Delta - \Delta_k)}$$

Basic numerics

Better numerics

## Future improvements

SDPB requires "positive-times-polynomial" form for each block:

$$\partial_z^m \partial_{\bar{z}}^n G_{\Delta,\ell} \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) = \chi_{\ell}(\Delta) P_{\ell}^{(m,n)}(\Delta)$$
$$\chi_{\ell}(\Delta) = \frac{e^{c\Delta}}{\prod_{k=1}^N (\Delta - \Delta_k)}$$

Fine for superblocks: all single poles below unitarity bound  $\checkmark$ .

Better numerics

## Future improvements

SDPB requires "positive-times-polynomial" form for each block:

$$\partial_z^m \partial_{\bar{z}}^n G_{\Delta,\ell} \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) = \chi_{\ell}(\Delta) P_{\ell}^{(m,n)}(\Delta)$$
$$\chi_{\ell}(\Delta) = \frac{e^{c\Delta}}{\prod_{k=1}^N (\Delta - \Delta_k)}$$

Fine for superblocks: all single poles below unitarity bound  $\checkmark$ . One also needs orthogonal polynomials for stability:

$$\int_{\Delta_{min}}^{\infty} q_j^{(\ell)}(\Delta) q_k^{(\ell)}(\Delta) \chi_\ell(\Delta) d\Delta = \delta_{jk}$$

Better numerics

## Future improvements

SDPB requires "positive-times-polynomial" form for each block:

$$\partial_z^m \partial_{\bar{z}}^n G_{\Delta,\ell} \left( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right) = \chi_{\ell}(\Delta) P_{\ell}^{(m,n)}(\Delta)$$
$$\chi_{\ell}(\Delta) = \frac{e^{c\Delta}}{\prod_{k=1}^N (\Delta - \Delta_k)}$$

Fine for superblocks: all single poles below unitarity bound  $\checkmark$ . One also needs orthogonal polynomials for stability:

$$\int_{\Delta_{min}}^{\infty} q_j^{(\ell)}(\Delta) q_k^{(\ell)}(\Delta) \chi_\ell(\Delta) d\Delta = \delta_{jk}$$

Impossible for superblocks:  $\Delta = d + \ell + 2n \ge \Delta_{min}$  singularity X.

Basic numerics

Better numerics

## Future improvements

$$\lim_{\ell \to 2k+1} \frac{\lambda_{\sigma\sigma\mathcal{O}}\lambda_{\chi\chi\mathcal{O}}}{(\Delta - d - \ell - 2n)^2} = ?$$

- We only know dimensions of protected operators so far.
- $\lambda^2_{\sigma\chi\mathcal{O}}$  would be useful too [Beem, Rastelli, van Rees; 1304.1803] .
- Try continuous-spin representations [Kravchuk, Simmons-Duffin; 1805.00098] .
- Alternative solvers could allow superblocks without a discrete grid.
- External spinning operators could lead to more kinks and islands.

Basic numerics

Better numerics

## Future improvements

$$\lim_{\ell \to 2k+1} \frac{\lambda_{\sigma\sigma\mathcal{O}}\lambda_{\chi\chi\mathcal{O}}}{(\Delta - d - \ell - 2n)^2} = ?$$

- We only know dimensions of protected operators so far.
- $\lambda^2_{\sigma\chi\mathcal{O}}$  would be useful too [Beem, Rastelli, van Rees; 1304.1803] .
- Try continuous-spin representations [Kravchuk, Simmons-Duffin; 1805.00098] .
- Alternative solvers could allow superblocks without a discrete grid.
- External spinning operators could lead to more kinks and islands.

